

CLINICAL APPROPRIATENESS GUIDELINES

# ADVANCED IMAGING

Appropriate Use Criteria: Imaging of the Abdomen and Pelvis

EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2019

Proprietary

---



8600 West Bryn Mawr Avenue  
South Tower – Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60631  
[www.aimspecialtyhealth.com](http://www.aimspecialtyhealth.com)

Appropriate.Safe.Affordable  
© 2019 AIM Specialty Health®  
2057-0119

# Table of Contents

<b>Description and Application of the Guidelines</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>Administrative Guidelines</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>Ordering of Multiple Studies</b> .....	<b>6</b>
Simultaneous Ordering of Multiple Studies.....	6
Repeated Imaging.....	6
<b>Pre-Test Requirements</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>History</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>Imaging of the Abdomen and Pelvis</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>General Information/Overview</b> .....	<b>8</b>
Scope.....	8
Technology Considerations.....	8
Definitions.....	9
<b>Clinical Indications</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<b>General Abdominal and Pelvic Indications</b> .....	<b>11</b>
Congenital and developmental conditions.....	11
Infectious and inflammatory conditions – not otherwise referenced.....	11
Trauma.....	12
Tumor or neoplasm – not otherwise referenced.....	12
<b>Female Reproductive System and Obstetrics</b> .....	<b>12</b>
Adenomyosis.....	12
Adnexal mass.....	12
Endometriosis.....	13
Obstetric indications.....	13
Uterine artery embolization procedures.....	13
<b>Gastrointestinal Conditions</b> .....	<b>14</b>
Appendicitis.....	14
Bowel obstruction.....	14
Constipation (Pediatric only).....	15
Diverticulitis.....	15
Enteritis and colitis.....	15
Foreign body (Pediatric only).....	16
Gastrointestinal bleeding (Pediatric only).....	16
Henoch-Schonlein purpura (Pediatric only).....	16
Inflammatory bowel disease.....	16
Intussusception (Pediatric only).....	16
Ischemic bowel.....	17
<b>Hepatobiliary Conditions</b> .....	<b>17</b>
Ascites.....	17
Biliary tract dilatation or obstruction.....	17
Cholecystitis.....	17

Cholelithiasis .....	18
Diffuse liver disease .....	18
Focal liver lesion.....	19
Hepatomegaly .....	20
Jaundice .....	20
Primary sclerosing cholangitis .....	21
<b>Osseous Conditions.....</b>	<b>21</b>
Avascular necrosis .....	21
Axial spondyloarthritis .....	21
Developmental hip dysplasia (Pediatric only) .....	22
Osseous tumor .....	22
Osteoid osteoma .....	23
Osteomyelitis.....	23
Pelvic fracture.....	23
Sacroiliitis .....	24
Septic arthritis.....	24
<b>Pancreatic Indications.....</b>	<b>24</b>
Pancreatic mass .....	24
Pancreatic pseudocyst .....	24
Pancreatitis.....	24
<b>Renal &amp; Urinary Tract Indications .....</b>	<b>25</b>
Bladder or urethral diverticula.....	25
Hematuria.....	25
Hydronephrosis .....	26
Nephrocalcinosis .....	26
Polycystic kidney disease.....	26
Pyelonephritis.....	26
Renal mass .....	26
Urinary tract calculi.....	27
<b>Miscellaneous Conditions.....</b>	<b>28</b>
Adrenal hemorrhage.....	28
Adrenal mass .....	28
Hemoperitoneum .....	28
Hernia.....	29
Lymphadenopathy.....	29
Pelvic floor disorders associated with urinary or bowel incontinence .....	29
Prostate cancer .....	29
Retroperitoneal conditions .....	30
Splenic hematoma.....	30
Splenomegaly.....	30
Sports hernia (athletic pubalgia).....	30
Undescended testicle (cryptorchidism).....	31

**Nonspecific Signs and Symptoms** ..... **31**

    Abdominal pain.....31

    Azotemia .....32

    Lower extremity edema .....32

    Fever of unknown origin .....32

    Pelvic pain .....32

    Weight loss.....33

**Exclusions**..... **33**

    Cystic liver disease.....33

    Failure to thrive.....33

    Gastroenteritis .....33

    Hirschsprung’s disease (congenital aganglionosis).....34

    Hypospadias.....34

    Irritable bowel syndrome .....34

    Jejunal or ileal stenosis .....34

    Meckel’s diverticulum or diverticulitis.....34

    Midgut volvulus.....34

    Neonatal jaundice: biliary atresia and neonatal hepatitis.....34

    Piriformis syndrome.....34

    Posterior urethral valve.....34

    Pyloric stenosis .....34

    Small left colon syndrome .....34

    Urinary tract infection .....35

    Vesicoureteral reflux.....35

**References** ..... **35**

**Codes**..... **39**

**History** ..... **40**

## Description and Application of the Guidelines

The AIM Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines (hereinafter “the AIM Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines” or the “Guidelines”) are designed to assist providers in making the most appropriate treatment decision for a specific clinical condition for an individual. As used by AIM, the Guidelines establish objective and evidence-based criteria for medical necessity determinations where possible. In the process, multiple functions are accomplished:

- To establish criteria for when services are medically necessary
- To assist the practitioner as an educational tool
- To encourage standardization of medical practice patterns
- To curtail the performance of inappropriate and/or duplicate services
- To advocate for patient safety concerns
- To enhance the quality of health care
- To promote the most efficient and cost-effective use of services

The AIM guideline development process complies with applicable accreditation standards, including the requirement that the Guidelines be developed with involvement from appropriate providers with current clinical expertise relevant to the Guidelines under review and be based on the most up-to-date clinical principles and best practices. Relevant citations are included in the References section attached to each Guideline. AIM reviews all of its Guidelines at least annually.

AIM makes its Guidelines publicly available on its website twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week. Copies of the AIM Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines are also available upon oral or written request. Although the Guidelines are publicly-available, AIM considers the Guidelines to be important, proprietary information of AIM, which cannot be sold, assigned, leased, licensed, reproduced or distributed without the written consent of AIM.

AIM applies objective and evidence-based criteria, and takes individual circumstances and the local delivery system into account when determining the medical appropriateness of health care services. The AIM Guidelines are just guidelines for the provision of specialty health services. These criteria are designed to guide both providers and reviewers to the most appropriate services based on a patient’s unique circumstances. In all cases, clinical judgment consistent with the standards of good medical practice should be used when applying the Guidelines. Guideline determinations are made based on the information provided at the time of the request. It is expected that medical necessity decisions may change as new information is provided or based on unique aspects of the patient’s condition. The treating clinician has final authority and responsibility for treatment decisions regarding the care of the patient and for justifying and demonstrating the existence of medical necessity for the requested service. The Guidelines are not a substitute for the experience and judgment of a physician or other health care professionals. Any clinician seeking to apply or consult the Guidelines is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine any patient’s care or treatment.

The Guidelines do not address coverage, benefit or other plan specific issues. If requested by a health plan, AIM will review requests based on health plan medical policy/guidelines in lieu of the AIM Guidelines.

The Guidelines may also be used by the health plan or by AIM for purposes of provider education, or to review the medical necessity of services by any provider who has been notified of the need for medical necessity review, due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers in terms of frequency or some other manner.

## Administrative Guidelines

### Ordering of Multiple Studies

Requests for multiple imaging studies to evaluate a suspected or identified condition and requests for repeated imaging of the same anatomic area are subject to additional review to avoid unnecessary or inappropriate imaging.

#### Simultaneous Ordering of Multiple Studies

In many situations, ordering multiple imaging studies at the same time is not clinically appropriate because:

- Current literature and/or standards of medical practice support that one of the requested imaging studies is more appropriate in the clinical situation presented; or
- One of the imaging studies requested is more likely to improve patient outcomes based on current literature and/or standards of medical practice; or
- Appropriateness of additional imaging is dependent on the results of the lead study.

When multiple imaging studies are ordered, the request will often require a peer-to-peer conversation to understand the individual circumstances that support the medical necessity of performing all imaging studies simultaneously.

Examples of multiple imaging studies that may require a peer-to-peer conversation include:

- CT brain and CT sinus for headache
- MRI brain and MRA brain for headache
- MRI cervical spine and MRI shoulder for pain indications
- MRI lumbar spine and MRI hip for pain indications
- MRI or CT of multiple spine levels for pain or radicular indications
- MRI foot and MRI ankle for pain indications
- Bilateral exams, particularly comparison studies

There are certain clinical scenarios where simultaneous ordering of multiple imaging studies is consistent with current literature and/or standards of medical practice. These include:

- Oncologic imaging – Considerations include the type of malignancy and the point along the care continuum at which imaging is requested
- Conditions which span multiple anatomic regions – Examples include certain gastrointestinal indications or congenital spinal anomalies

#### Repeated Imaging

In general, repeated imaging of the same anatomic area should be limited to evaluation following an intervention, or when there is a change in clinical status such that imaging is required to determine next steps in management. At times, repeated imaging done with different techniques or contrast regimens may be necessary to clarify a finding seen on the original study.

Repeated imaging of the same anatomic area (with same or similar technology) may be subject to additional review in the following scenarios:

- Repeated imaging at the same facility due to motion artifact or other technical issues
- Repeated imaging requested at a different facility due to provider preference or quality concerns
- Repeated imaging of the same anatomic area (MRI or CT) based on persistent symptoms with no clinical change, treatment, or intervention since the previous study

- Repeated imaging of the same anatomical area by different providers for the same member over a short period of time

## Pre-Test Requirements

---

Critical to any finding of clinical appropriateness under the guidelines for specific imaging exams is a determination that the following are true with respect to the imaging request:

- A clinical evaluation has been performed prior to the imaging request (which should include a complete history and physical exam and review of results from relevant laboratory studies, prior imaging and supplementary testing) to identify suspected or established diseases or conditions.
- **For suspected diseases or conditions:**
  - Based on the clinical evaluation, there is a reasonable likelihood of disease prior to imaging; and
  - Current literature and standards of medical practice support that the requested imaging study is the most appropriate method of narrowing the differential diagnosis generated through the clinical evaluation and can be reasonably expected to lead to a change in management of the patient; and
  - The imaging requested is reasonably expected to improve patient outcomes based on current literature and standards of medical practice.
- **For established diseases or conditions:**
  - Advanced imaging is needed to determine whether the extent or nature of the disease or condition has changed; and
  - Current literature and standards of medical practice support that the requested imaging study is the most appropriate method of determining this and can be reasonably expected to lead to a change in management of the patient; and
  - The imaging requested is reasonably expected to improve patient outcomes based on current literature and standards of medical practice.
- If these elements are not established with respect to a given request, the determination of appropriateness will most likely require a peer-to-peer conversation to understand the individual and unique facts that would supersede the pre-test requirements set forth above. During the peer-to-peer conversation, factors such as patient acuity and setting of service may also be taken into account.

## History

Status	Date	Action
Reviewed and revised	07/26/2016	Independent Multispecialty Physician Panel review and revision
Created	03/30/2005	Original effective date

# Imaging of the Abdomen and Pelvis

## General Information/Overview

### Scope

These guidelines address advanced imaging of the abdomen and pelvis in both adult and pediatric populations. For interpretation of the Guidelines, and where not otherwise noted, “adult” refers to persons age 19 and older, and “pediatric” refers to persons age 18 and younger. Where separate indications exist, they are specified as **Adult** or **Pediatric**. Where not specified, indications and prerequisite information apply to persons of all ages.

See the Coding section for a list of modalities included in these guidelines.

### Technology Considerations

**Ultrasound** is the initial imaging modality of choice for many conditions of the abdomen and pelvis, including hepatobiliary, urinary tract, and gynecologic conditions. While ultrasound is operator dependent and image quality may be impacted by obesity and bowel gas, accuracy, availability and absence of ionizing radiation make it an ideal choice for initial evaluation of several intra-abdominal conditions, especially in the right upper quadrant and in the pelvis and especially in pediatric patients and pregnant women.

**Computed tomography (CT)** is often utilized for imaging the abdomen and pelvis. It provides excellent 3-dimensional resolution and can be performed relatively quickly, reducing the potential for motion artifact. A major drawback of CT is the dose of ionizing radiation required for image acquisition, which is of particular concern in younger patients and those who require multiple scans over time.

CT may be performed with or without contrast; contrast provides additional detail to delineate vascular and gastrointestinal structures and is recommended in certain settings, such as infection, tumor, hemorrhage and visceral lesions. However, contrast increases scan acquisition time, and confers risk in cases of impaired renal function, pregnancy, metformin use, radioactive iodine treatment for thyroid disease, or previous reactions to contrast agents. Noncontrast CT may often suffice in some situations, and is preferred when evaluating for intra-abdominal hemorrhage and/or calcification.

**Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)** requires a longer time for image acquisition and is more prone to motion artifact than CT. However, MRI does not expose patients to ionizing radiation and has better contrast resolution than CT. MRI may be a useful substitute in cases where contrast CT is contraindicated. It is often preferred in pediatric patients due to the absence of radiation; however, sedation may be required in younger patients in order to obtain adequate images.

MRI may be performed with or without contrast. Use of contrast is recommended for imaging of vascular structures or solid organs. The most commonly used agent for contrast MRI is gadolinium, but iron oxide and iron platinum contrast agents are also available. Administration of gadolinium has been associated with a rare but serious condition known as nephrogenic systemic fibrosis, and should be avoided in persons with advanced renal disease. Gadolinium contrast has also recently been shown to accumulate within the brain parenchyma, a finding of uncertain clinical significance. There are a number of alternative contrast agents which have been developed for specialized use including gadoxetic acid (hepatobiliary imaging), gadofosveset (a blood pool agent), and gadobutrol (an extracellular fluid agent).

The use of contrast is at the discretion of the ordering provider and/or the radiologist performing the imaging study, and should be tailored to the individual circumstances of each case.

**Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP)** is a noninvasive alternative to endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). MRCP avoids the risks associated with anesthesia and does not expose patients to ionizing radiation. It is able to detect extraductal abnormalities and can



provide better visualization of structures proximal to a ductal obstruction. However, it is prone to motion artifact, may be less able to detect subtle abnormalities, and—unlike ERCP—has no therapeutic capabilities.

**Dynamic pelvic MRI** yields a 3-dimensional image used to evaluate the pelvic floor and rectal function by imaging pelvic muscles at rest and while contracted. **Magnetic resonance defecography** is a form of dynamic MRI used for evaluation of pelvic organ and muscle function through imaging stages of defecation. Dynamic pelvic MRI may be indicated in cases of pelvic organ prolapse, pelvic pain, and fecal and urinary incontinence.

**Multiparametric MRI** uses multiple pulse sequences to image tissue. Its primary use in clinical medicine is for diagnosis and follow up of prostate cancer.

**CT enterography** and **MR enterography** are noninvasive, cross-sectional imaging modalities protocolled to optimize visualization of the small intestine. CT enterography provides images of the entire small intestine without interference from overlapping loops, and detects both extraluminal and luminal disease. MR enterography also provides high-contrast resolution; it can detect abscesses and fistulas, and can distinguish fibrotic from inflammatory structures. In general, CT enterography is preferred for extraluminal pathology, whereas MR enterography is preferred for organ-specific and disease-specific (such as Crohn's disease) evaluation.

Imaging of the urinary tract often begins with **kidney, ureter, and bladder (KUB) radiography**. This type of radiograph is particularly useful in acute care settings for evaluation of diffuse pain, or pain suggestive of renal or urinary tract disease. **Ultrasound** is also useful for initial evaluation and avoids the risks associated with radiation exposure. Both ultrasound and KUB radiography may be used for follow-up of nephrolithiasis in select patients.

**CT abdomen/pelvis stone protocol (CT KUB)**, a noncontrast CT scan that images the kidney, ureters, and bladder, is commonly used for visualizing the urinary tract. Indications for CT KUB include urolithiasis/nephrolithiasis, renal parenchymal calcifications, and exclusion of hemorrhagic changes. **Low-dose CT** can also be used to scan for urinary tract stones with a lowered effective radiation dose. Compared to standard CT, low-dose CT still has excellent sensitivity, but image resolution can suffer, especially in the case of urinary tract stones under 3 mm in size.

**CT urography (CTU)**, also referred to as **CT IVP** or **CT IVU** is a more complex variant of CT that is used to evaluate the urinary tract. While CT KUB is simply a noncontrast CT scan, CT urogram includes an initial noncontrast CT scan followed by contrast-enhanced nephrographic phase and excretory phase imaging. CT urogram combines conventional CT with thin-section axial CT images taken during the excretory phase. Historically, CT was combined with excretory urography (EU) for CT urogram, but this method is no longer standard. CT urogram can be used to evaluate various tumor types, papillary necrosis, and renal inflammatory disease, among other conditions.

## Definitions

**Phases of the care continuum are broadly defined as follows:**

- **Screening** – testing in the absence of signs or symptoms of disease
- **Diagnosis** – testing based on a reasonable suspicion of a particular condition or disorder, usually due to the presence of signs or symptoms
- **Management** – testing to direct therapy of an established condition, which may include preoperative or postoperative imaging, or imaging performed to evaluate the response to nonsurgical intervention
- **Surveillance** – periodic assessment following completion of therapy, or for monitoring known disease that is stable or asymptomatic

## Statistical terminology<sup>1</sup>

- **Confidence interval (CI)** – range of values which is likely to contain the cited statistic. For example, 92% sensitivity (95% CI, 89%-95%) means that, while the sensitivity was calculated at 92% on the current study, there is a 95% chance that, if a study were to be repeated, the sensitivity on the repeat study would be in the range of 89%-95%.
- **Diagnostic accuracy** – ability of a test to discriminate between the target condition and health. Diagnostic accuracy is quantified using sensitivity and specificity, predictive values, and likelihood ratios.
- **Hazard ratio** – odds that an individual in the group with the higher hazard reaches the outcome first. Hazard ratio is analogous to odds ratio and is reported most commonly in time-to-event analysis or survival analysis. A hazard ratio of 1 means that the hazard rates of the 2 groups are equivalent. A hazard ratio of greater than 1 or less than 1 means that there are differences in the hazard rates between the 2 groups.
- **Likelihood ratio** – ratio of an expected test result (positive or negative) in patients *with* the disease to an expected test result (positive or negative) in patients *without* the disease. Positive likelihood ratios, especially those greater than 10, help rule in a disease (i.e., they substantially raise the post-test probability of the disease, and hence make it very likely and the test very useful in identifying the disease). Negative likelihood ratios, especially those less than 0.1, help rule out a disease (i.e., they substantially decrease the post-test probability of disease, and hence make it very unlikely and the test very useful in excluding the disease).
- **Odds ratio** – odds that an outcome will occur given a particular exposure, compared to the odds of the outcome occurring in the absence of that exposure. An odds ratio of 1 means that the exposure does not affect the odds of the outcome. An odds ratio greater than 1 means that the exposure is associated with higher odds of the outcome. An odds ratio less than 1 means that the exposure is associated with lower odds of the outcome.
- **Predictive value** – likelihood that a given test result correlates with the presence or absence of disease. Positive predictive value is defined as the number of true positives divided by the number of test positives. Negative predictive value is defined as the number of true negatives divided by the number of test negative patients. Predictive value is dependent on the prevalence of the condition.
- **Pretest probability** – probability that a given patient has a disease prior to testing. May be divided into very low (less than 5%), low (less than 20%), moderate (20%-75%), and high (greater than 75%) although these numbers may vary by condition.
- **Relative risk** – probability of an outcome when an exposure is present relative to the probability of the outcome occurring when the exposure is absent. Relative risk is analogous to odds ratio; however, relative risk is calculated by using percentages instead of odds. A relative risk of 1 means that there is no difference in risk between the 2 groups. A relative risk of greater than 1 means that the outcome is more likely to happen in the exposed group compared to the control group. A relative risk less than 1 means that the outcome is less likely to happen in the exposed group compared to the control group.
- **Sensitivity** – conditional probability that the test is positive, given that the patient has the disease. Defined as the true positive rate (number of true positives divided by the number of patients with disease). Excellent or high sensitivity is usually greater than 90%.
- **Specificity** – conditional probability that the test is negative, given that the patient does not have the disease. Defined as the true negative rate (number of true negatives divided by the number of patients without the disease). Excellent or high specificity is usually greater than 90%.

## Clinical Indications

The following section includes indications for which advanced imaging of the abdomen and pelvis is considered medically necessary, along with prerequisite information and supporting evidence where available. Indications, diagnoses, or imaging modalities not specifically addressed are considered not medically necessary.

It is recognized that imaging often detects abnormalities unrelated to the condition being evaluated. Such findings must be considered within the context of the clinical situation when determining whether additional imaging is required.

### General Abdominal and Pelvic Indications

---

#### Congenital and developmental conditions

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment.

##### IMAGING STUDY

###### ADULT

- CT or MRI abdomen and/or pelvis

###### PEDIATRIC

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation of hepatobiliary and genitourinary anomalies
- Ultrasound recommended for initial evaluation of pancreatic anomalies
- CT or MRI abdomen and/or pelvis when additional imaging is needed to guide treatment
- MRI preferred for evaluation of uterine anomalies
- MRCP preferred for evaluation of biliary and pancreatic duct anomalies

*Note: The following conditions do not require advanced imaging:*

- *Accessory spleen*
- *Biliary atresia*
- *Hirschsprung's disease*
- *Jejunal or ileal stenosis*
- *Meckel's diverticulum*
- *Pyloric stenosis*
- *Small left colon*

#### Infectious and inflammatory conditions – not otherwise referenced

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary when the results of imaging will impact management.

##### IMAGING STUDY

- CT or MRI abdomen and/or pelvis

## Trauma

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary when the results of imaging will impact management.

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis
- MRI when CT contraindicated

## Tumor or neoplasm – not otherwise referenced

*For management of documented malignancy, see Oncologic Imaging guidelines.*

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Evaluation of palpable abdominal or pelvic masses of indeterminate origin
- Characterization of indeterminate lesions arising in the solid abdominal viscera and surrounding anatomic structures

### IMAGING STUDY

#### ADULT

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation of a palpable pelvic mass in women
- CT abdomen and/or pelvis for all other scenarios, or following nondiagnostic pelvic ultrasound
- MRI abdomen for further characterization of abdominal mass seen on prior imaging, including CT scan

#### PEDIATRIC

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation of a palpable pelvic mass
- Ultrasound recommended for initial evaluation of an abdominal mass
- CT or MRI abdomen and/or pelvis for initial evaluation of a palpable abdominal mass, or following nondiagnostic ultrasound

## Female Reproductive System and Obstetrics

---

### Adenomyosis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary following pelvic ultrasound, when further imaging is required to direct management.

### IMAGING STUDY

- MRI pelvis

### Rationale

There is wide clinical agreement and support from multiple clinical guidelines for ultrasound as the initial imaging modality for evaluation of structural pathology within the reproductive organs of the female pelvis<sup>2-5</sup> with advanced imaging reserved in select cases as an add-on test to further characterize abnormalities on ultrasound or when ultrasound is nondiagnostic. MRI is the advanced imaging modality of choice due to its superior soft tissue contrast.<sup>4,6</sup>

### Adnexal mass

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary following pelvic ultrasound when further imaging is required to direct management.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- MRI pelvis

**Rationale**

There is wide clinical agreement and support from multiple clinical guidelines for ultrasound as the initial imaging modality for evaluation of structural pathology within the reproductive organs of the female pelvis<sup>2-5</sup> with advanced imaging reserved in select cases as an add-on test to further characterize abnormalities on ultrasound or when ultrasound is nondiagnostic. MRI is the advanced imaging modality of choice due to its superior soft tissue contrast.<sup>4,6</sup>

**Endometriosis**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary following pelvic ultrasound, when further imaging is required to direct management.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- MRI pelvis

**Rationale**

There is wide clinical agreement and support from multiple clinical guidelines for ultrasound as the initial imaging modality for evaluation of structural pathology within the reproductive organs of the female pelvis<sup>2-5</sup> with advanced imaging reserved in select cases as an add-on test to further characterize abnormalities on ultrasound or when ultrasound is nondiagnostic. MRI is the advanced imaging modality of choice due to its superior soft tissue contrast.<sup>4,6</sup>

A review of 49 studies involving 4807 women was performed to determine whether imaging tests could be used as a replacement for diagnostic surgery or as a triage test to assist in decision making regarding diagnostic surgery. The evaluated modalities included ultrasound, MRI, and CT. While none of the imaging modalities met criteria to replace surgery in making the diagnosis of endometriosis, transvaginal ultrasound did approach the criteria for a triage test for pelvic endometriosis in general. Transvaginal ultrasound met the criteria for a triage test for endometrioma, as well as for deeply infiltrating endometriosis involving the uterosacral ligaments, rectovaginal septum, vaginal wall, pouch of Douglas, and rectosigmoid.<sup>7</sup>

**Obstetric indications**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management of **ANY** of the following:

- Fetal anomalies
- Assessment prior to fetal intervention
- Placental complications
- Complications related to monozygotic twins
- Pelvimetry
- Other obstetrical complications

**IMAGING STUDY**

- Ultrasound is required for initial evaluation of fetal and placental conditions
- Fetal MRI for indications involving the fetus or placenta, following nondiagnostic ultrasound
- MRI pelvis for pelvimetry or other obstetrical complications

**Uterine artery embolization procedures**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for evaluation related to a uterine artery embolization procedure when the results of imaging will impact management.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- MRI pelvis

## Gastrointestinal Conditions

---

### Appendicitis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Diagnosis of suspected appendicitis
- Perioperative management

#### IMAGING STUDY

- Nonpregnant adults
  - CT abdomen and pelvis
- Pregnant women
  - Ultrasound required for initial evaluation
  - MRI abdomen and pelvis when ultrasound is nondiagnostic
  - CT abdomen and pelvis when ultrasound is nondiagnostic and MRI is contraindicated or unavailable
- Pediatric patients
  - Ultrasound recommended for initial evaluation
  - CT or MRI abdomen and/or pelvis when ultrasound is unavailable or is expected to be limited due to body habitus

#### Rationale

The incidence of acute appendicitis is estimated at 3.4 million cases per year in the U.S. Typical signs and symptoms, including right lower quadrant pain, fever, anorexia, nausea, and vomiting, should lead to surgical consultation. When the diagnosis cannot be made on clinical exam alone, imaging modalities including ultrasound, CT, and MRI may be indicated. Alternative modalities may be considered in pediatric patients and pregnant women due to long-term concerns related to ionizing radiation.<sup>8</sup>

A meta-analysis of 29 studies evaluating the relative accuracies of ultrasound, CT, and MRI for clinically suspected acute appendicitis in children indicated high diagnostic accuracy for all 3 modalities and no statistically significant difference between them.<sup>9</sup>

A systematic review and meta-analysis found that, with an experienced sonographer, point of care ultrasound is appropriate as the initial imaging test in the evaluation of suspected acute appendicitis in patients of any age.<sup>10</sup>

In a prospective cohort study of patients age 4 to 30 years to determine predictors for nondiagnostic ultrasound in clinically suspected acute appendicitis, body mass index greater than 85th percentile (odds ratio 4.9 [95% CI, 2.0-12.2]) and older age (odds ratio 1.1 [95% CI, 1.02-1.20]) were found to be statistically significant predictors of nondiagnostic ultrasound. Thus, in younger patients and those not classified as overweight, ultrasound is an appropriate initial study, while other modalities should be considered in older and overweight patients.<sup>11</sup> In pediatric patients with a nondiagnostic ultrasound and clinically suspected appendicitis, MRI was found to have a sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 97.1%, while CT had a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 98.6%, indicating comparable diagnostic utility of CT and MRI as secondary imaging modalities following ultrasound.<sup>12</sup>

The American College of Radiology indicates that ultrasound is the preferred initial imaging modality in pediatric patients due to lack of ionizing radiation and an accuracy approaching that of CT. In pregnant women, ultrasound is also preferred for initial imaging evaluation, with MRI used as a secondary test when ultrasound is nondiagnostic.<sup>13</sup>

### Bowel obstruction

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment.

#### IMAGING STUDY

- Radiographs required for initial evaluation in pediatric patients
- CT abdomen and/or pelvis when additional imaging is needed to guide treatment

- MRI abdomen and pelvis in pediatric patients only

### Rationale

Abdominal radiography has moderate accuracy (approximately 83%) for the diagnosis of small bowel obstruction and is a useful initial test, especially in radiation-sensitive patients.<sup>14</sup> CT abdomen and pelvis is a more accurate exam that is less reader-dependent and can provide incremental information over radiographs in differentiating grade, severity, and etiology of small bowel obstructions that may lead to changes in management.<sup>15</sup> In children and younger patients with known or suspected small bowel obstructions or repetitive episodes of obstruction, MRI is indicated as the first-line imaging modality.<sup>16,17</sup>

## Constipation (Pediatric only)

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for evaluation of symptoms persisting 2 or more weeks when **ANY** of the following are present:

- Failure of medical management
- Failure to thrive
- Fever
- Vomiting
- Following barium enema or anal manometry when there is suspicion for **ANY** of the following:
  - Anal stenosis
  - Impaction in patients younger than 1 year of age
  - Tight empty rectum

### IMAGING STUDY

- Radiographs required for initial evaluation
- CT or MRI abdomen and/or pelvis

### Rationale

Constipation is a common problem in children and largely a clinical diagnosis. While a commonly performed practice, there is conflicting evidence that abdominal radiography substantially aids the diagnosis of constipation with at best small likelihood ratios (1-1.2) based on well designed studies.<sup>18</sup> Constipation can have both functional and organic causes. When constipation is associated with red flag features such as failure to thrive, unexplained weight loss, or vomiting, referral to a pediatric gastroenterologist should be considered and additional testing with colonoscopy and/or advanced imaging may be appropriate.<sup>19,20</sup>

## Diverticulitis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact management.

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis

### Rationale

CT abdomen and pelvis with intravenous contrast should be used to assess for diverticulitis based on recommendations from multiple high quality clinical guidelines. There is a lack of clinical data to support the use of MRI as a first-line modality in the diagnosis of diverticulitis.<sup>21</sup>

## Enteritis and colitis

*Includes neutropenic colitis and radiation enteritis, and excludes inflammatory bowel disease.*

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact management.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis

**Foreign body (Pediatric only)**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary following nondiagnostic radiograph and high clinical suspicion of ingested foreign body.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis
- MRI when CT contraindicated

**Gastrointestinal bleeding (Pediatric only)**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary following nondiagnostic endoscopy, colonoscopy, or upper/lower gastrointestinal series when the results of imaging are essential to establish a diagnosis and/or direct management.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis
- MRI when CT contraindicated

**Henoch-Schonlein purpura (Pediatric only)**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact management.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis

**Inflammatory bowel disease**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Diagnosis of suspected Crohn's disease following nondiagnostic upper and lower endoscopy
- Management of new or worsening symptoms to confirm exacerbation or evaluate for complications, including stricture, abscess, or fistula

**IMAGING STUDY**

- CT or MRI abdomen and/or pelvis

**Rationale**

MRI, CT, and ultrasound may be indicated as an adjunct to endoscopy for diagnosis of colonic inflammatory bowel disease, which remains the gold standard for diagnosis. MRI and CT have higher sensitivity for examining locations difficult to access by ultrasound.<sup>22</sup>

Small bowel follow through and enteroclysis have high accuracy for mucosal abnormality and are widely available. They are less able to detect extramural complications and are contraindicated in high-grade obstruction and perforation. Radiation exposure is a major limitation. Ultrasound, CT, and MRI have a high and comparable diagnostic accuracy at the initial presentation of terminal ileal Crohn's disease. Small bowel follow through and enteroclysis have an acceptable accuracy for mucosal disease but are less accurate for mural disease and extramural complications.<sup>22</sup>

**Intussusception (Pediatric only)**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:



- Following nondiagnostic ultrasound
- Following intussusception reduction

#### **IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis
- MRI when CT contraindicated

### **Ischemic bowel**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment.

#### **IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis
- MRI when CT contraindicated

*Note: Radiographs are preferred for necrotizing enterocolitis.*

#### **Rationale**

CT with intravenous and oral contrast is indicated for suspected colonic ischemia to assess the distribution and phase of colitis. The diagnosis of colon ischemia can be suggested based on CT findings, such as bowel wall thickening, edema, or thumbprinting.<sup>23</sup>

## **Hepatobiliary Conditions**

---

### **Ascites**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and surveillance following nondiagnostic ultrasound.

#### **IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen and pelvis
- MRI for pediatric patients only

### **Biliary tract dilatation or obstruction**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in patients with unexplained biliary tract dilation, biochemical evidence of biliary obstruction, and/or unexplained right upper quadrant pain when the results of imaging are essential to establish a diagnosis and/or direct management.

#### **IMAGING STUDY**

- MRCP

### **Cholecystitis**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in the following scenario:

- Suspected complications of acute cholecystitis including perforation, abscess, gangrenous or hemorrhagic cholecystitis, gallstone ileus, and Mirizzi's syndrome

#### **IMAGING STUDY**

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation in pediatric patients
- CT abdomen

- MRI abdomen for pediatric patients only when ultrasound is nondiagnostic

*Note: Advanced imaging not recommended for evaluation of acute uncomplicated cholecystitis.*

### Rationale

Right upper quadrant ultrasound is indicated in patients with jaundice to evaluate for common bile duct dilation, presence of stones, and to direct any additional testing. If a patient has jaundice with a suspected mechanical cause, right upper quadrant pain, or a history of stones, MRI abdomen with and without intravenous contrast and MRCP is second line.<sup>27</sup> One study found that patients who receive initial CT for suspected cholecystitis are 11 times more likely to undergo a second examination than patients who receive initial ultrasound.<sup>28</sup>

CT can accurately visualize gallbladder distention and wall thickening and identify complications of acute cholecystitis such as gallbladder wall emphysema, abscess formation, and perforation.<sup>29</sup>

## Choledocholithiasis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary when the diagnosis is suspected following cholecystectomy.

### IMAGING STUDY

- MRCP

### Rationale

Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) is the gold standard, but MRCP has comparable diagnostic accuracy and is noninvasive. For intermediate pretest probability for choledocholithiasis (10%-50%), the summary sensitivity of EUS is 0.95 compared with 0.93 for MRCP, while summary specificity is 0.97 for EUS compared with 0.96 for MRCP.<sup>24,25</sup> Diagnostic ERCP has largely been replaced by EUS or MRCP, as the risk of post-ERCP pancreatitis is greater in a patient with normal caliber bile duct and normal bilirubin (odds ratio 3.4 for post-ERCP pancreatitis).<sup>26</sup>

## Diffuse liver disease

*Includes chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis, glycogen storage diseases, hemochromatosis, and Wilson's disease*

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **ANY** of the following scenarios:

- Evaluation of suspected liver disease based on clinical findings or abnormal liver function tests when ultrasound is nondiagnostic and further evaluation is required
- Suspected hepatocellular carcinoma in persons with known cirrhosis
- Evaluation for iron overload in hemochromatosis when chelation therapy or phlebotomy is being considered

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT abdomen for **ANY** of the following:
  - Suspected liver disease
  - Suspected hepatocellular carcinoma
  - Iron overload in hemochromatosis when MRI contraindicated
- MRI abdomen for evaluation of hemochromatosis

### Rationale

There are many potential causes of diffuse liver damage, including autoimmune disease, infection, hereditary conditions, and toxic or metabolic factors. A common presentation is asymptomatic transaminase elevation detected on routine laboratory testing. Advanced liver disease may manifest as jaundice or aberrations in the synthetic function of the liver.

When imaging is required, ultrasound is the initial study of choice for evaluation of both the liver parenchyma and biliary tree. In a study comparing ultrasonography of alcoholic liver disease to histological correlation, ultrasound had a

sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 94%.<sup>30</sup> Another study comparing histologic findings with ultrasonography for assessment of diffuse parenchymal disease found a sensitivity and specificity of 89% and 93%, respectively.<sup>31</sup>

Limited data is available comparing accuracy of available cross-sectional imaging modalities. A small trial comparing the ability of ultrasound, CT, and MRI to determine diffuse liver steatosis demonstrated that opposed-phase MRI had the highest correlation with histopathology, compared to T2-weighted MRI with and without fat saturation, CT, and ultrasound for quantification of diffuse liver fat.<sup>32</sup> In a multicenter collaborative study evaluating the accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of these imaging modalities for detecting liver cirrhosis, CT and MRI were not statistically better than ultrasound in receiver operating characteristic analysis.<sup>33</sup>

## Focal liver lesion

### ADULT

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **ANY** of the following scenarios:

- Indeterminate lesions (not biopsied and not fully characterized by prior imaging)
  - Initial evaluation of an indeterminate lesion identified on prior imaging when **ANY** of the following high-risk features are present:
    - Size > 1 cm in diameter
    - Multiple lesions
    - Known malignancy
    - Known cirrhosis
    - Chronic hepatitis
    - Sclerosing cholangitis
    - Primary biliary cirrhosis
    - Hemochromatosis
    - Hemosiderosis
    - Oral contraceptive use
    - Anabolic steroid use
  - Follow up or surveillance at 3 to 6 months when any of the above risk factors are present, or when the lesion is enhancing, poorly defined, or increasing in size
- Benign lesions (biopsy-proven or fully characterized by imaging)
  - Evaluation of symptoms suggesting a change in size or character
  - Periodic surveillance of known hepatic adenoma

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT or MRI abdomen

### PEDIATRIC

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Suspected malignancy
- Diagnosis or management of a benign neoplasm

### IMAGING STUDY

- Ultrasound required for evaluation of a benign neoplasm
- CT or MRI abdomen when ultrasound is nondiagnostic or when malignancy is suspected

*Note: A simple liver cyst with benign characteristics on ultrasound may not require advanced imaging or surveillance.*

### Rationale

Common benign liver lesions, such as cysts and hemangiomas, usually have a characteristic appearance on ultrasound; this often eliminates the need for additional evaluation.<sup>34</sup> In the setting of classic imaging findings and low risk for hepatic malignancy, ultrasonography is often sufficient.<sup>34</sup> Otherwise, further evaluation with MRI should be considered.<sup>35,36</sup>

Cavernous hemangiomas are common; autopsy studies have shown that they occur in up to 7% of the population.<sup>37,38</sup> Hemangiomas appear as a homogenous hyperechoic mass, usually < 3 cm in diameter with acoustic enhancement and sharp margins. Simple cysts are also very common in the liver, occurring in about 5% of individuals. Cysts typically show through transmission with no internal echoes and a sharp distant border with edge shadowing on liver ultrasound.<sup>37</sup>

Small hepatic lesions (< 1 cm) are difficult to characterize and biopsy, but have a high probability of being benign (> 80% even in patients with known malignancy),<sup>34,39</sup> thus close clinical follow up and monitoring for progression may be the most appropriate next step.<sup>40</sup> In an otherwise healthy patient, an incidentally discovered focal liver lesion has an estimated probability of greater than 95% of being benign.<sup>41</sup>

Multiple clinical guidelines recommend further characterization of liver lesions in high-risk patients, as well as those measuring greater than 1 cm in diameter, but there is no consensus on the most appropriate follow-up interval.<sup>42,43</sup> High-risk individuals include those with a known primary malignancy with a propensity to metastasize to the liver. Other hepatic risk factors include cirrhosis, chronic hepatitis, sclerosing cholangitis, hemochromatosis, hemosiderosis, oral contraceptive use, and anabolic steroid use.<sup>40</sup>

In terms of appropriate follow up, the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, as part of the The American Board of Internal Medicine initiative, recommends that clinicians not perform CT or MRI routinely to monitor benign focal liver lesions unless there is a major change in clinical findings or symptoms.<sup>39</sup>

## Hepatomegaly

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for clinically suspected or worsening hepatic enlargement when the results of imaging will impact management.

### IMAGING STUDY

#### ADULT

- Ultrasound should be considered for initial evaluation
- CT abdomen
- MRI abdomen only when CT contraindicated

#### PEDIATRIC

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation
- CT or MRI abdomen when ultrasound is nondiagnostic

## Jaundice

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for evaluation of unexplained icterus and abnormal liver function testing following nondiagnostic ultrasound.

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT abdomen
- MRI abdomen for pediatric patients only

### Rationale

Right upper quadrant ultrasound is the first-line modality in patients with jaundice to evaluate for common bile duct dilation, presence of stones, and to direct any additional testing. If patient has jaundice with a suspected mechanical

cause, right upper quadrant pain, or a history of stones, MRI abdomen with and without intravenous contrast and MRCP is second line.<sup>27</sup>

## Primary sclerosing cholangitis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment decisions.

### IMAGING STUDY

- MRCP

## Osseous Conditions

---

### Avascular necrosis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Diagnosis following negative or inconclusive radiographs
- Preoperative planning for osteonecrosis with femoral head collapse

### IMAGING STUDY

- MRI pelvis
- CT pelvis when MRI or bone scan not available or contraindicated

### Axial spondyloarthropathy

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **ANY** of the following scenarios:

- Diagnosis of nonradiographic spondyloarthropathy (nrSpA) when **BOTH** of the following are present:
  - Radiographs which are negative or equivocal for sacroiliitis (Grade 0-2)
  - Inflammatory back pain which has been present for at least 3 months. Inflammatory back pain is defined as back pain with at least **FOUR (4)** of the following features:
    - Patient is younger than age 40
    - Insidious (gradual) onset
    - Improvement with exercise
    - No improvement with rest
    - Pain at night that improves on getting up
- Baseline imaging prior to therapy when the diagnosis is based on radiographic findings
- Reevaluation in patients who have received at least 3 months of tumor necrosis factor inhibitors without clinical improvement

### IMAGING STUDY

- MRI pelvis

### Rationale

Axial spondyloarthritis includes a group of rare (estimated 0.25% to 1% prevalence) disorders that may be HLA-B27 positive and that manifest with inflammatory changes around the entheses. Spondyloarthritis includes ankylosing

spondylitis, reactive arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, arthropathy associated with inflammatory bowel disease, and undifferentiated spondyloarthritis.

The Assessment of SpondyloArthritis International Society (ASAS) has developed and validated criteria for spondyloarthritis, as well as for their subsets: axial spondyloarthritis and peripheral spondyloarthritis.<sup>44</sup> While sacroiliitis is the most common MRI manifestation of axial spondyloarthropathy, bone marrow edema can be seen in the vertebrae as well and characteristic patterns have been described.<sup>45</sup>

There is consensus among guidelines that radiography of the pelvis and/or spine is the preferred imaging modality for initial evaluation of spondyloarthritis. Radiographs of the whole spine are recommended as the first-line imaging modality.<sup>46</sup> Plain film x-ray of the sacroiliac joints should be considered for suspected axial spondyloarthritis, unless the person is likely to have an immature skeleton.<sup>47</sup> In patients with ankylosing spondylitis (not nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis), initial conventional radiography of the lumbar and cervical spine is recommended to detect syndesmophytes, which are predictive of development of new syndesmophytes.<sup>48</sup>

The ASAS criteria for axial spondyloarthritis have a high diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity 82% and specificity 88% based on a systematic review of 9 papers and 5739 patients.<sup>44</sup> Patients that do not meet the ASAS criteria are a low pretest probability group unlikely to have axial spondyloarthropathy. The ASAS criteria for axial spondyloarthritis include age < 45 years, back pain of at least 3 months duration, sacroiliitis on imaging (either definitive changes on radiography or evidence from MRI) and one characteristic feature, and HLA-B27 positive; or at least 2 characteristic clinical features, which include arthritis, uveitis, dactylitis, psoriasis, Crohn's disease, positive nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug response, family history, and positive HLA-B27.

Diagnostic criteria for ASAS are based on MRI of the sacroiliac joints, not the spine. MRI of the spine has a low yield in patients with a negative sacroiliac joint MRI and should not be routinely performed. A retrospective study of 1191 patients under age 45 with chronic lower back pain found sacroiliitis in approximately 7% of patients. Less than 2% of patients with a negative sacroiliac joint MRI had a positive spine MRI, and spine MRI changed management in only 0.16% of cases.<sup>49</sup> MRI can demonstrate edema of the vertebral body corners (also known as corner inflammatory lesions) and bone marrow edema. A positive MRI spine is defined as 3 or more lesions present on 2 or more slices, but this definition is used primarily for research purposes.<sup>49</sup>

Consensus among guidelines is that MRI should be obtained in patients with persistent clinical suspicion when radiography is negative or indeterminate. When a diagnosis of axial spondyloarthritis cannot be confirmed and clinical suspicion remains high, a follow up MRI should be considered.<sup>48</sup> When radiographs are negative and there is suspicion of spondyloarthritis, MRI is mandatory to look for early inflammatory lesions.<sup>46</sup> Plain film x-rays, ultrasound, and/or MRI should be considered for other peripheral and axial symptomatic sites.<sup>47</sup>

A negative/indeterminate radiograph does not satisfy the New York Criteria for Ankylosing Spondylitis (bilateral grade 2–4 or unilateral grade 3–4 sacroiliitis [evidence of erosions, sclerosis, joint space widening, narrowing or ankyloses]) and does not otherwise explain the back pain.

MRI of the sacroiliac joints and/or spine may be used to assess and monitor disease activity in axial spondyloarthritis, providing additional information on top of clinical and biochemical assessments. The decision on when to repeat MRI depends on the clinical circumstances. In general, short tau inversion recovery sequences are sufficient to detect inflammation and the use of contrast medium is not needed.<sup>48</sup>

## Developmental hip dysplasia (Pediatric only)

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for preoperative planning.

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT pelvis

## Osseous tumor

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment decisions.

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT pelvis
- MRI pelvis for pediatric patients only

*Note: MRI or radionuclide bone scintigraphy (bone scan) may be more appropriate for detection of skeletal metastases and primary bone tumors.*

## Osteoid osteoma

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary following negative or inconclusive hip radiographs.

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT pelvis

## Osteomyelitis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment decisions.

### IMAGING STUDY

- MRI pelvis
- CT pelvis when MRI or bone scan not available or contraindicated

### Rationale

Though radiographs often do not show abnormalities associated with osteomyelitis in the first 2 weeks of the infection, they can detect other pathology that may contribute to the patient's symptoms. The information provided by radiographs generally complements that provided by other modalities, so radiographs should be performed even when other imaging is planned.

Radiographs are the appropriate initial imaging study in osteomyelitis because they can demonstrate findings suggestive of the diagnosis, but can also exclude or provide information to suggest other diagnoses. The sensitivity of radiography is reportedly 43%-75% and the specificity is 75%-83%. Abnormal radiographs are helpful, but diagnosis cannot be excluded solely on the basis of negative radiographs. Although sensitivity and specificity of CT are not well established, sensitivity of CT is known to be lower than sensitivity of MRI. For this reason, the utility of CT is limited to specific situations. For example, CT can be used to detect bony sequestra, and has an important role in determining operative therapy.<sup>50</sup>

Overall, CT has a limited role in the diagnosis of osteomyelitis, and should be used only when imaging is being done to assess the extent of bone destruction, to direct a biopsy, or when MRI is contraindicated. For early detection of osteomyelitis, MRI is superior to other imaging modalities. The sensitivity and specificity for MRI are 78%-90% and 60%-90%, respectively. This compares to sensitivity and specificity of 67% and 50% for CT, and 14%-54% and 68%-70% for radiography.<sup>51</sup>

The American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria indicates that for initial imaging evaluation of suspected osteomyelitis, radiographs are rated as "usually appropriate." CT, MRI, and ultrasound are all rated as "usually not appropriate," regardless of whether the studies are to be performed with intravenous contrast. For evaluation of suspected osteomyelitis following radiographs, MRI without and with intravenous contrast is preferred, although radiographs and MRI are both indicated and complementary. MRI without contrast is generally appropriate if contrast is contraindicated, and CT with intravenous contrast is generally appropriate if MRI is contraindicated.<sup>52</sup>

## Pelvic fracture

*Includes sacral insufficiency fracture, stress fracture, and traumatic fracture*

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Diagnosis or management of sacral insufficiency fracture
- Diagnosis or management of stress fracture or traumatic fracture following nondiagnostic pelvic or sacral radiographs

### IMAGING STUDY

- Radiographs required prior to advanced imaging for fracture indications other than sacral insufficiency fracture
- CT or MRI pelvis following inconclusive radiographs or initial evaluation of sacral insufficiency fracture

## Sacroiliitis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary following pelvic or sacral radiographs when further clarification is required to direct treatment.

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT or MRI pelvis

## Septic arthritis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment decisions.

### IMAGING STUDY

- MRI pelvis
- CT pelvis when MRI or bone scan not available or contraindicated

## Pancreatic Indications

---

### Pancreatic mass

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary when the results of imaging are essential to establish a diagnosis and/or direct management.

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT abdomen with pancreatic protocol
- MRI abdomen

### Pancreatic pseudocyst

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in persons with a history of pancreatitis or pancreatic trauma.

### IMAGING STUDY

#### ADULT

- CT abdomen
- MRI abdomen only when CT contraindicated
- MRCP to determine the relationship between the cyst and pancreatic duct

#### PEDIATRIC

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation
- CT or MRI abdomen when ultrasound is nondiagnostic
- MRCP to determine the relationship between the cyst and pancreatic duct

*Note: Ultrasound is recommended for surveillance of known pseudocyst requiring serial evaluation.*

## Pancreatitis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Evaluation of suspected complications due to acute pancreatitis (see pancreatic pseudocyst)



- Recurrent acute pancreatitis of uncertain etiology, defined as more than 2 attacks of acute pancreatitis without evidence for chronic pancreatitis

*Note: Patients with mild acute or uncomplicated pancreatitis usually do not require cross-sectional imaging, aside from ultrasound for identification of gallstones and/or biliary ductal calculi.*

#### **IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen
- MRI abdomen for pediatric patients only (for adults when CT contraindicated)
- MRCP for recurrent acute pancreatitis to evaluate suspected pancreatic duct anomalies

#### **Rationale**

Biochemical testing is more sensitive than CT and often sufficient to make the diagnosis of acute uncomplicated pancreatitis in both children and adults.<sup>53,54</sup> Therefore, CT is not indicated and should not be ordered routinely for patients with mild acute pancreatitis.<sup>55</sup>

CT should be performed selectively when a broad differential diagnosis that includes acute pancreatitis must be narrowed, especially when biochemical testing is negative or in patients with acute pancreatitis and a suspected local complication (e.g., peritonitis, signs of shock, suggestive ultrasound findings).<sup>25</sup> Triphasic CT is accurate for the detection of complications in acute pancreatitis including pseudocysts, pancreatic necrosis, portal vein thrombosis, and visceral artery pseudoaneurysms. MRI or MRCP may be indicated when a biliary cause for pancreatitis is suspected, especially for recurrent attacks.<sup>56</sup>

## **Renal & Urinary Tract Indications**

---

### **Bladder or urethral diverticula**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment decisions.

#### **IMAGING STUDY**

- MRI pelvis

### **Hematuria**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment decisions.

#### **IMAGING STUDY**

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation in pediatric patients.
- CT abdomen and/or pelvis following nondiagnostic ultrasound (pediatric) or for initial evaluation (adults)

#### **Rationale**

In patients presenting with macroscopic atraumatic hematuria, the incidence of urological malignancy is 0%-9.3% depending on patient population, with higher rates in male smokers over age 60. The primary goal of advanced imaging is to rule out genitourinary malignancy. A multiphasic study is recommended. Imaging can also detect nonmalignant etiologies for hematuria, including calculous disease (> 5%) and benign prostatic enlargement.<sup>57</sup>

The initial evaluation for asymptomatic microhematuria should include a radiologic evaluation. Multiphasic CT urography (without and with intravenous contrast), including sufficient phases to evaluate the renal parenchyma to rule out a renal mass and an excretory phase to evaluate the urothelium of the upper tracts, is the modality of choice because it has the

highest sensitivity and specificity for imaging the upper tracts.<sup>57</sup> CT changed management in 53% of patients with hematuria.<sup>58</sup>

## Hydronephrosis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary to evaluate for obstruction following nondiagnostic ultrasound.

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis
- MRI abdomen and pelvis in pediatric patients only
- MRI abdomen and pelvis in adults only when CT contraindicated

## Nephrocalcinosis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary following nondiagnostic ultrasound.

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT abdomen

## Polycystic kidney disease

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary following nondiagnostic ultrasound

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT or MRI abdomen

## Pyelonephritis

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Acute pyelonephritis in persons with diabetes, history of renal calculi or renal surgery
- Lack of clinical improvement following 72 hours of antibiotic therapy to evaluate for complications such as abscess or another surgical condition
- Diagnosis or management of xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT abdomen
- MRI abdomen when CT contraindicated

## Renal mass

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **ANY** of the following scenarios:

- Suspected malignancy
- Characterization of an indeterminate renal mass identified on prior imaging
- Diagnosis and management of benign renal tumors including angiomyolipoma, multilocular cystic nephroma, and neuroblastomatosis

### IMAGING STUDY

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation of cystic lesions and for management of lesions known to be benign
- CT or MRI abdomen when malignancy is suspected or following nondiagnostic ultrasound

*Note: Simple cysts with benign characteristics on ultrasound do not require advanced imaging or surveillance.*

### Rationale

When evaluating an incidental renal lesion, previous imaging results should be obtained if available to assess lesion stability.

For an incidental cystic renal mass detected on CT, the Bosniak classification system is a well-validated tool for management. Renal cysts classified Bosniak category 1 or 2 require no follow up; however, Bosniak subcategory 2F cysts should have a follow-up CT or MRI at 6 months, 12 months, and then yearly for 5 years in patients without limited life expectancy. Cysts categorized Bosniak 3 or 4 should be followed up at 6 to 12 months, then yearly for 5 years, in patients who are poor surgical candidates or who have limited life expectancy. For patients with a solid or Bosniak 3/4 complex cystic renal mass in whom the risk/benefit analysis for treatment is equivocal and who prefer active surveillance, physicians should repeat imaging in 3 to 6 months to assess for interval growth and may consider renal mass biopsy for additional risk stratification.<sup>59</sup>

For an incidental solid renal mass detected on CT, recommendations are based on lesion size. For lesions < 1 cm, CT or MRI should be performed at 3 to 6 months, then 12 months, then yearly—or at shorter intervals if the mass is enlarging. For lesions 1-3 cm or > 3 cm, follow up should be an option for patients with limited life expectancy or comorbidities.<sup>42</sup>

## Urinary tract calculi

### ADULT

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **ANY** of the following scenarios:

- Initial evaluation of suspected calculus in patients with no history of nephrolithiasis
- Suspected recurrence when **ANY** of the following apply:
  - History of radiolucent calculus
  - History of radiopaque calculus and atypical presentation
  - History of radiopaque calculus and typical presentation, following nondiagnostic ultrasound
- Management and follow up of known calculi when **ANY** of the following apply:
  - Planned percutaneous nephrolithotomy, ureteroscopy, or shock wave lithotripsy, when CT has not been performed within the preceding 30 days
  - Symptomatic patients with known radiolucent calculi
  - Symptomatic patients with radiopaque calculi, following nondiagnostic KUB or ultrasound
  - Asymptomatic patients with known radiolucent calculi and persistent hydronephrosis on ultrasound following shock wave lithotripsy or ureteroscopic stone extraction
- Pregnancy
  - Diagnosis or management following nondiagnostic ultrasound or KUB

### PEDIATRIC

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary following nondiagnostic ultrasound or kidney, ureter, and bladder radiograph.

### IMAGING STUDY

- Radiograph or ultrasound required in pregnant women and pediatric patients
- CT abdomen and/or pelvis

### Rationale

#### INITIAL EVALUATION

CT is preferred by the majority of the evidence based guidelines although initial ultrasound evaluation is also appropriate. Ultrasound has been shown to have lower sensitivity but comparable specificity to CT in detecting ureteral

stones. It is safe, reproducible, and inexpensive, and can detect upper urinary tract dilatation.<sup>60-62</sup> CT detects important incidental findings in patients over 80 years of age in 28.9% of cases.<sup>63</sup> CT should be avoided for patients presenting to the emergency department with symptoms consistent with uncomplicated renal colic who are younger than 50 years of age, otherwise healthy, and with known histories of kidney stones or ureterolithiasis.<sup>64</sup>

#### MANAGEMENT

In a randomized controlled trial, 2500 nonobese adult patients with suspected nephrolithiasis (without a solitary kidney or dialysis dependence) presenting in the emergency department were randomized to initial ultrasound vs CT. No difference was found between the 2 groups in the rates of clinically significant alternative diagnoses, hospitalizations, return emergency department visits, or diagnostic accuracy.<sup>65</sup>

## Miscellaneous Conditions

---

### Adrenal hemorrhage

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary following nondiagnostic ultrasound or history of trauma.

#### IMAGING STUDY

- CT or MRI abdomen

### Adrenal mass

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Evaluation of biochemical evidence suggestive of an adrenal neoplasm
- Characterization of an indeterminate adrenal mass identified on prior imaging

#### IMAGING STUDY

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation in neonates
- CT or MRI abdomen

#### Rationale

Incidental adrenal masses, or adrenal incidentalomas, are frequently encountered and represent a diagnostic challenge. All patients found to have an adrenal incidentaloma should undergo clinical, biochemical, and imaging examinations to determine the presence/absence of symptoms and signs caused by an excess of adrenal hormones and to determine whether the tumor is malignant. The main purpose of imaging studies in adrenal incidentaloma is to biopsy malignant tumors early enough for a curative surgical resection to be possible.<sup>66,67</sup>

For evaluation of a 1-4 cm incidentally discovered adrenal mass with no history of cancer, a follow up CT or MRI at 12 months is recommended.<sup>42</sup> Markers suggestive of malignancy include size > 4 cm, irregular margins, nonhomogeneous content, nonuniform enhancement, surrounding tissue invasion or metastasis, attenuation coefficient of 10 Hounsfield units or greater on noncontrast CT scan, low washout rate on delayed view of contrast CT, and abnormal increase in metabolites of steroids.<sup>68</sup> No follow up is recommended for lesions with benign features.<sup>66,69</sup> A recent systematic review of 3 studies and 153 patients found the CT < 10 Hounsfield units criterion to be 100% sensitive (95% CI, 91%-100%) and 72% specific (95% CI, 60%-82%).<sup>69</sup> Among more than 2300 patients included in published follow-up studies, there is no report of adrenal malignancy in adrenal incidentalomas displaying typical features of adrenocortical adenomas on initial imaging studies.<sup>69</sup>

Follow-up imaging studies to detect malignancy are recommended 3 to 6 months after the initial study and continuing for 1 to 2 years. Adrenalectomy should be considered if the mass enlarges by 1 cm or more and/or changes its appearance during observation.<sup>66</sup>

### Hemoperitoneum

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis

**Hernia**

*Includes femoral, internal, inguinal, Spigelian, ventral, and incisional hernia*

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Suspected complications
- Presurgical planning

**IMAGING STUDY**

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation in pediatric patients
- CT abdomen and/or pelvis
- MRI abdomen and/or pelvis for pediatric patients only (adults when CT contraindicated)

**Rationale**

CT detects occult hernias in approximately 11% of cases and assists in the differential diagnosis of hernia vs other abdominal wall mass. It is useful in surgical planning to define the abdominal wall anatomy in nonmidline hernias such as those on the flanks, suprapubic or subxiphoid regions, and to identify posterior abdominal wall defects.<sup>70</sup>

MRI is favored for groin hernias when ultrasound is nondiagnostic. Sensitivity and specificity for MRI are 94.5% and 96.3%, respectively, vs 83% and 67%-83%, respectively, for CT.<sup>71</sup>

**Lymphadenopathy**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for detection and periodic follow up when the results of imaging will impact treatment decisions.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis
- MRI abdomen and/or pelvis when CT is nondiagnostic

*Note: MRI may be useful to differentiate enlarged lymph nodes from vascular structures following unenhanced CT scan*

**Pelvic floor disorders associated with urinary or bowel incontinence**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment decisions.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- MRI pelvis
- Dynamic MRI may be of benefit in some clinical scenarios

**Prostate cancer**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for evaluation of suspected prostate cancer in men with a rising prostate-specific antigen and negative transrectal ultrasound biopsy.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- Multiparametric MRI pelvis

## Retroperitoneal conditions

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management of **ANY** of the following retroperitoneal conditions:

- Fibrosis
- Inflammation
- Bleeding
- Mass

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis
- MRI abdomen and/or pelvis for pediatric patients only (adults when CT contraindicated)

## Splenic hematoma

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment.

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT abdomen
- MRI abdomen for pediatric patients only (adults when CT contraindicated)

## Splenomegaly

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for clinically suspected or worsening splenic enlargement when the results of imaging will impact management.

### IMAGING STUDY

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation in pediatric patients
- CT abdomen following nondiagnostic ultrasound (pediatric) or initial evaluation (adult)
- MRI abdomen for pediatric patients only (adults when CT contraindicated)

## Sports hernia (athletic pubalgia)

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

- Pain is insidious, progressive, and worsens with Valsalva or movement
- No detectable inguinal or ventral hernia on exam
- Pain has persisted for least 6 weeks
- Radiographs are nondiagnostic
- Symptoms have not improved following at least 6 weeks of conservative therapy
- Patient is a surgical candidate

### IMAGING STUDY

- MRI pelvis

### Rationale

Athletic pubalgia is a term used to describe chronic groin pain which is of insidious onset and occurs with exertion. Though its incidence in female patients is increasing, the diagnosis predominantly occurs in males. It is uncertain

whether this is due to differences in the intensity of exercise in the affected patients, or differences in the anatomy which may reduce the susceptibility of female patients to this condition.<sup>72</sup>

Conventional radiographs are generally the initial imaging modality in the evaluation of groin pain. Radiographs can identify or exclude conditions such as tumor, fracture, osteoarthritis, or advanced avascular necrosis, that may account for the patient's symptoms. Though no single modality has demonstrated adequate sensitivity and specificity for making the diagnosis, specific findings associated with the diagnosis have been described on both MRI and dynamic ultrasonography.<sup>73</sup>

Initial treatment is nonsurgical and may include anti-inflammatory medication, rest, heat or ice, and deep massage. Physical therapy may also be helpful. Surgical management should be considered in patients who do not respond to a 6- to 8-week course of nonsurgical management and after other causes for the pain have been excluded via history and physical examination as well as imaging studies.<sup>74</sup>

## Undescended testicle (cryptorchidism)

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for diagnosis and management when the results of imaging will impact treatment.

### IMAGING STUDY

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation in pediatric patients
- CT or MRI pelvis may be utilized when additional information is required

## Nonspecific Signs and Symptoms

---

### Abdominal pain

*Note: Acute pain is defined as new onset pain within the past 30 days; chronic pain is pain lasting more than 30 days. Recurrent pain refers to 3 or more episodes of pain over a period of 3 or more months.*

#### ADULT

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary when unexplained by clinical findings, physical examination, or other imaging studies.

#### PEDIATRIC

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Evaluation of chronic or recurrent abdominal pain when **ANY** of the following red flag signs is present:
  - Chronic severe diarrhea (at least 3 watery stools per day for more than 2 weeks)
  - Deceleration of linear growth
  - Fever of unknown origin
  - Gastrointestinal bleeding
  - History of a genetic or congenital syndrome
  - Immunocompromised
  - Involuntary weight loss
  - Persistent focal abdominal pain, especially right upper or right lower quadrant
  - Persistent vomiting
- Evaluation of acute abdominal pain when pain is unexplained by clinical findings, physical examination, or other imaging studies

**IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen
- MRI abdomen for pediatric patients only (adults when CT contraindicated)

**Rationale**

The American Academy of Pediatrics notes that functional abdominal pain generally can be diagnosed correctly without the need for additional diagnostic tests if the patient is 4 to 18 years of age with chronic abdominal pain when there are no alarm symptoms or signs, the physical examination is normal, and the stool sample tests are negative for occult blood.<sup>75</sup> However, the American Academy of Pediatrics also recommends further evaluation in a subgroup of patients with alarm or red flag features which include involuntary weight loss, deceleration of linear growth, gastrointestinal blood loss, significant vomiting, chronic severe diarrhea, persistent right upper or right lower quadrant pain, unexplained fever, family history of inflammatory bowel disease, or abnormal or unexplained physical findings. These features indicate a need to perform diagnostic testing for specific anatomic, infectious, inflammatory, or metabolic etiologies on the basis of specific symptoms.<sup>75</sup> Significant focal tenderness is also an alarm feature. Advanced imaging may be indicated in the presence of red flag features associated with chronic or recurrent pediatric abdominal pain.

**Azotemia**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary when progressive and unexplained following nondiagnostic ultrasound.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation
- CT abdomen/pelvis following nondiagnostic ultrasound
- MRI when CT contraindicated

**Lower extremity edema**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for evaluation when diffuse and unexplained.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- Ultrasound recommended for initial evaluation in females
- CT abdomen and/or pelvis
- MRI abdomen and/or pelvis for pediatric patients only (adults only when CT contraindicated)

**Fever of unknown origin**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Fever of duration greater than 3 weeks which is unexplained following a standard diagnostic evaluation to identify the source
- Unexplained fever in immunocompromised patient

**IMAGING STUDY**

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis

**Pelvic pain**

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary when unexplained by clinical findings, physical examination, or other imaging studies.

**IMAGING STUDY**

- Ultrasound required for initial evaluation in females
- CT pelvis



- MRI pelvis for pediatric patients only (adults when CT contraindicated)

### Rationale

Ultrasound is indicated for nonpregnant patients presenting with abdominal pain and a suspected gynecologic etiology with no clinical signs of infection. If ultrasound is inconclusive, the next best modality is contrast enhanced MRI, followed by contrast-enhanced CT. When an infectious etiology is suspected, contrast-enhanced MRI is indicated for females of childbearing age.<sup>3</sup>

## Weight loss

*Also see Chest Imaging guidelines.*

Advanced imaging is considered medically necessary for evaluation of unintentional weight loss exceeding 5% of body weight within a 12-month interval in **EITHER** of the following scenarios:

- Persistence following a negative comprehensive clinical evaluation (including a history and physical examination, age-appropriate cancer screening, chest radiography, and initial laboratory evaluation) after a period of observation
- Abnormal findings suggestive of malignancy on history, physical exam, imaging, or laboratory evaluation

### IMAGING STUDY

- CT abdomen and/or pelvis

### Rationale

Persistent unintentional weight loss is defined as a substantive weight loss over a period of 6 to 12 months.<sup>76</sup> Weight loss is not uncommon in elderly patients and is typically related to one of the 7 Ds: dementia, dentition, depression, diarrhea, drugs, functional dysfunction, or dysphagia. When unintentional weight loss remains unexplained, it may be due to the 8<sup>th</sup> D: acute or chronic disease.<sup>76</sup>

The primary purpose of advanced imaging in the evaluation of unexplained unintentional weight loss is to exclude an occult malignancy not detected by initial clinical evaluation and testing, usually in patients with abnormalities on baseline testing. CT screening is of limited value. Instead, diagnostic testing should be directed toward areas of concern based on the history and physical examination.<sup>77</sup> Age-appropriate screening for malignancy (mammogram, pap smear) should also be encouraged.<sup>78</sup>

The most common cause of malignancy among patients with unintentional weight loss is of gastrointestinal primary (47%), and gastrointestinal causes account for 45% of nonmalignant organic etiologies.<sup>79</sup> Therefore, endoscopy and/or colonoscopy should be considered for initial evaluation when there is evidence for a gastrointestinal source.

CT with contrast is sensitive for the detection of lymphoma, lung and genitourinary cancers, which are the next most common causes of malignancy in patients with unintentional weight loss.

## Exclusions

---

The following indications do not generally require advanced imaging. If there are circumstances that require advanced imaging, a peer-to-peer discussion may be required.

### Cystic liver disease

Includes congenital and acquired cysts. Ultrasound is usually sufficient.

### Failure to thrive

Chronic condition that is not typically evaluated with advanced imaging.

### Gastroenteritis

Imaging is not indicated.

### **Hirschsprung's disease (congenital aganglionosis)**

Barium enema and radiography are the radiologic modalities of choice.

### **Hypospadias**

Voiding cystourethrogram is the modality of choice.

### **Irritable bowel syndrome**

Irritable bowel syndrome is a clinical diagnosis. If indicated, plain films and fluoroscopy are the imaging modalities of choice. Advanced imaging is not indicated.

#### **Rationale**

The following tests are not necessary to confirm diagnosis in people who meet the irritable bowel syndrome diagnostic criteria: ultrasound, rigid/flexible sigmoidoscopy, colonoscopy, barium enema, thyroid function test, fecal ova and parasite test, fecal occult blood, and hydrogen breath test (for lactose intolerance and bacterial overgrowth).<sup>80</sup>

Because of the low pretest probability of Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, and colonic neoplasia, routine colonic imaging is not recommended in patients younger than age 50 with typical irritable bowel syndrome symptoms and no alarm features. Colonoscopic imaging should be performed in irritable bowel syndrome patients with alarm features to rule out organic diseases and in those over age 50 for the purpose of colorectal cancer screening.<sup>81</sup>

### **Jejunal or ileal stenosis**

Upper gastrointestinal fluoroscopy and radiography are the radiologic modalities of choice.

### **Meckel's diverticulum or diverticulitis**

Meckel's scan is the diagnostic modality of choice.

### **Midgut volvulus**

Emergent condition, not for outpatient workup. Upper gastrointestinal fluoroscopy and radiography are the diagnostic modalities of choice.

### **Neonatal jaundice: biliary atresia and neonatal hepatitis**

For cases of biliary atresia or neonatal hepatitis, ultrasound and nuclear scintigraphy are the diagnostic imaging modalities of choice.

### **Piriformis syndrome**

Advanced imaging is generally not indicated.

### **Posterior urethral valve**

Voiding cystourethrogram is the modality of choice.

### **Pyloric stenosis**

Ultrasound and fluoroscopy are the radiologic modalities of choice.

### **Small left colon syndrome**

Barium enema and radiography are the radiologic modalities of choice.

## Urinary tract infection

*See separate indication for pyelonephritis.*

In infants and children under age 5, ultrasound, voiding cystourethrogram, and renal scan (technetium 99m-dimercaptosuccinic acid), are appropriate, as needed, to diagnose and manage urinary tract infections.

In patients age 5 and older, advanced imaging is not indicated in the evaluation of a simple urinary tract infection, but may be indicated when there is concern for complicated pyelonephritis.

## Vesicoureteral reflux

Voiding cystogram, followed by ultrasound, is generally sufficient.

## References

1. Šimundić A-M. Measures of Diagnostic Accuracy: Basic Definitions. *EJIFCC*. 2009;19(4):203-11. PMID: PMC4975285
2. Benacerraf BR, Abuhamad AZ, Bromley B, et al. Consider ultrasound first for imaging the female pelvis. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 2015;212(4):450-5. Epub 2015/04/07. PMID: 25841638
3. Bhosale PR, Javitt MC, Atri M, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(R) Acute Pelvic Pain in the Reproductive Age Group. *Ultrasound quarterly*. 2016;32(2):108-15. Epub 2015/11/21. PMID: 26588104
4. Harris RD, Javitt MC, Glanc P, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(R) clinically suspected adnexal mass. *Ultrasound quarterly*. 2013;29(1):79-86. Epub 2013/01/30. PMID: 23358212
5. AIUM practice guideline for the performance of pelvic ultrasound examinations. *Journal of ultrasound in medicine : official journal of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine*. 2010;29(1):166-72. Epub 2009/12/31. PMID: 20040793
6. Brandao AC, Silva AO. Diseases of the female pelvis: advances in imaging evaluation. *Magnetic resonance imaging clinics of North America*. 2013;21(2):447-69. Epub 2013/05/07. PMID: 23642562
7. Nisenblat V, Bossuyt PM, Farquhar C, et al. Imaging modalities for the non-invasive diagnosis of endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;2:Cd009591. Epub 2016/02/27. PMID: 26919512
8. Dahabreh IJ, Adam GP, Halladay CW, et al. Diagnosis of right lower quadrant and suspected acute appendicitis Diagnosis of Right Lower Quadrant Pain and Suspected Acute Appendicitis. *AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Reviews*, Number 157. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US); 2015.
9. Zhang H, Liao M, Chen J, et al. Ultrasound, computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging - which is preferred for acute appendicitis in children? A Meta-analysis. *Pediatric radiology*. 2017;47(2):186-96. Epub 2016/11/07. PMID: 27815615
10. Matthew Fields J, Davis J, Alsup C, et al. Accuracy of Point-of-care Ultrasonography for Diagnosing Acute Appendicitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2017;24(9):1124-36. Epub 2017/05/04. PMID: 28464459
11. Keller C, Wang NE, Imler DL, et al. Predictors of Nondiagnostic Ultrasound for Appendicitis. *J Emerg Med*. 2017;52(3):318-23. Epub 2016/10/04. PMID: 27692650
12. Martin JF, Mathison DJ, Mullan PC, et al. Secondary imaging for suspected appendicitis after equivocal ultrasound: time to disposition of MRI compared to CT. *Emerg Radiol*. 2018;25(2):161-8. Epub 2017/12/19. PMID: 29249008
13. Smith MP, Katz DS, Lalani T, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria(R) Right Lower Quadrant Pain--Suspected Appendicitis. *Ultrasound quarterly*. 2015;31(2):85-91. Epub 2014/11/05. PMID: 25364964
14. Thompson WM, Kilani RK, Smith BB, et al. Accuracy of abdominal radiography in acute small-bowel obstruction: does reviewer experience matter? *AJR American journal of roentgenology*. 2007;188(3):W233-8. Epub 2007/02/22. PMID: 17312028

15. Maung AA, Johnson DC, Piper GL, et al. Evaluation and management of small-bowel obstruction: an Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma practice management guideline. *The journal of trauma and acute care surgery*. 2012;73(5 Suppl 4):S362-9. Epub 2012/12/27. PMID: 23114494
16. Mullan CP, Siewert B, Eisenberg RL. Small bowel obstruction. *AJR American journal of roentgenology*. 2012;198(2):W105-17. Epub 2012/01/24. PMID: 22268199
17. Fidler J. MR imaging of the small bowel. *Radiol Clin North Am*. 2007;45(2):317-31. Epub 2007/05/16. PMID: 17502220
18. Reuchlin-Vroklage LM, Bierma-Zeinstra S, Benninga MA, et al. Diagnostic value of abdominal radiography in constipated children: a systematic review. *Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine*. 2005;159(7):671-8. Epub 2005/07/06. PMID: 15997002
19. El-Chammas K, Majeskie A, Simpson P, et al. Red flags in children with chronic abdominal pain and Crohn's disease-a single center experience. *The Journal of pediatrics*. 2013;162(4):783-7. Epub 2012/10/17. PMID: 23069193
20. Di Lorenzo C, Colletti RB, Lehmann HP, et al. Chronic Abdominal Pain In Children: a Technical Report of the American Academy of Pediatrics and the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition. *Journal of pediatric gastroenterology and nutrition*. 2005;40(3):249-61. Epub 2005/03/01. PMID: 15735476
21. Sartelli M, Catena F, Ansaloni L, et al. WSES Guidelines for the management of acute left sided colonic diverticulitis in the emergency setting. *World J Emerg Surg*. 2016;11:37. Epub 2016/08/02. PMID: 27478494
22. Panes J, Bouhnik Y, Reinisch W, et al. Imaging techniques for assessment of inflammatory bowel disease: joint ECCO and ESGAR evidence-based consensus guidelines. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2013;7(7):556-85. Epub 2013/04/16. PMID: 23583097
23. Brandt LJ, Feuerstadt P, Longstreth GF, et al. ACG clinical guideline: epidemiology, risk factors, patterns of presentation, diagnosis, and management of colon ischemia (CI). *The American journal of gastroenterology*. 2015;110(1):18-44; quiz 5. Epub 2015/01/07. PMID: 25559486
24. Williams E, Beckingham I, El Sayed G, et al. Updated guideline on the management of common bile duct stones (CBDs). *Gut*. 2017;66(5):765-82. Epub 2017/01/27. PMID: 28122906
25. Greenberg JA, Hsu J, Bawazeer M, et al. Clinical practice guideline: management of acute pancreatitis. *Canadian journal of surgery Journal canadien de chirurgie*. 2016;59(2):128-40. Epub 2016/03/24. PMID: 27007094
26. Tenner S, Baillie J, DeWitt J, et al. American College of Gastroenterology guideline: management of acute pancreatitis. *The American journal of gastroenterology*. 2013;108(9):1400-15; 16. Epub 2013/07/31. PMID: 23896955
27. Lalani T, Couto CA, Rosen MP, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria jaundice. *Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR*. 2013;10(6):402-9. Epub 2013/05/02. PMID: 23632132
28. Ginsburg D, Paroder V, Flusberg M, et al. Diagnosis of acute cholecystitis: why do patients get multiple studies? *Emerg*. 2016;23(1):49-55. PMID: 26521261
29. European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL). EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines on the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of gallstones. *Journal of hepatology*. 2016;65(1):146-81. Epub 2016/04/18. PMID: 27085810
30. Taylor KJ, Gorelick FS, Rosenfield AT, et al. Ultrasonography of alcoholic liver disease with histological correlation. *Radiology*. 1981;141(1):157-61. Epub 1981/10/01. PMID: 6270725
31. Joseph AE, Saverymuttu SH, al-Sam S, et al. Comparison of liver histology with ultrasonography in assessing diffuse parenchymal liver disease. *Clinical radiology*. 1991;43(1):26-31. Epub 1991/01/01. PMID: 1999069
32. Qayyum A, Chen DM, Breiman RS, et al. Evaluation of diffuse liver steatosis by ultrasound, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging: which modality is best? *Clin Imaging*. 2009;33(2):110-5. Epub 2009/02/25. PMID: 19237053
33. Kudo M, Zheng RQ, Kim SR, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of imaging for liver cirrhosis compared to histologically proven liver cirrhosis. A multicenter collaborative study. *Intervirolgy*. 2008;51 Suppl 1:17-26. Epub 2008/06/27. PMID: 18544944

34. Marin D, Furlan A, Federle MP, et al. Imaging approach for evaluation of focal liver lesions. *Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology : the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association*. 2009;7(6):624-34. Epub 2009/04/08. PMID: 19348962
35. Lamba R, Fananapazir G, Corwin MT, et al. Diagnostic imaging of hepatic lesions in adults. *Surgical oncology clinics of North America*. 2014;23(4):789-820. Epub 2014/09/24. PMID: 25246050
36. Belghiti J, Cauchy F, Paradis V, et al. Diagnosis and management of solid benign liver lesions. *Nature reviews Gastroenterology & hepatology*. 2014;11(12):737-49. Epub 2014/09/03. PMID: 25178878
37. Venkatesh SK, Chandan V, Roberts LR. Liver masses: a clinical, radiologic, and pathologic perspective. *Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology : the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association*. 2014;12(9):1414-29. Epub 2013/09/24. PMID: 24055987
38. Garrett R. Solid liver masses: approach to management from the standpoint of a radiologist. *Current gastroenterology reports*. 2013;15(12):359. Epub 2013/11/19. PMID: 24243519
39. Diseases AAftSoL. Five Things Physicians and Patients Should Question. *Choosing Wisely: ABIM*; 2014.
40. Pang EH, Harris AC, Chang SD. Approach to the Solitary Liver Lesion: Imaging and When to Biopsy. *Can Assoc Radiol J*. 2016;67(2):130-48. Epub 2015/12/26. PMID: 26702758
41. Dietrich CF, Sharma M, Gibson RN, et al. Fortuitously discovered liver lesions. *World journal of gastroenterology*. 2013;19(21):3173-88. Epub 2013/06/08. PMID: 23745019
42. Berland LL, Silverman SG, Gore RM, et al. Managing incidental findings on abdominal CT: white paper of the ACR incidental findings committee. *Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR*. 2010;7(10):754-73. Epub 2010/10/05. PMID: 20889105
43. Marrero JA, Ahn J, Rajender Reddy K. ACG clinical guideline: the diagnosis and management of focal liver lesions. *The American journal of gastroenterology*. 2014;109(9):1328-47; quiz 48. Epub 2014/08/20. PMID: 25135008
44. Sepriano A, Rubio R, Ramiro S, et al. Performance of the ASAS classification criteria for axial and peripheral spondyloarthritis: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*. 2017;76(5):886-90. Epub 2017/02/10. PMID: 28179264
45. Baraliakos X, Braun J. Imaging Scoring Methods in Axial Spondyloarthritis. *Rheumatic diseases clinics of North America*. 2016;42(4):663-78. Epub 2016/10/16. PMID: 27742020
46. Schueller-Weidekamm C, Mascarenhas VV, Sudol-Szopinska I, et al. Imaging and interpretation of axial spondylarthritis: the radiologist's perspective--consensus of the Arthritis Subcommittee of the ESSR. *Seminars in musculoskeletal radiology*. 2014;18(3):265-79. Epub 2014/06/05. PMID: 24896743
47. National Institute for H, Care E. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence: Clinical Guidelines. Spondyloarthritis in Over 16s: Diagnosis and Management. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (UK) Copyright (c) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2017.; 2017.
48. Mandl P, Navarro-Compan V, Terslev L, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging in the diagnosis and management of spondyloarthritis in clinical practice. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*. 2015;74(7):1327-39. Epub 2015/04/04. PMID: 25837448
49. Ez-Zaitouni Z, Bakker PA, van Lunteren M, et al. The yield of a positive MRI of the spine as imaging criterion in the ASAS classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis: results from the SPACE and DESIR cohorts. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*. 2017;76(10):1731-6. Epub 2017/07/01. PMID: 28663306
50. Pineda C, Vargas A, Rodriguez AV. Imaging of osteomyelitis: current concepts. *Infectious disease clinics of North America*. 2006;20(4):789-825. Epub 2006/11/23. PMID: 17118291
51. Hatzenbuehler J, Pulling TJ. Diagnosis and management of osteomyelitis. *American family physician*. 2011;84(9):1027-33. Epub 2011/11/04. PMID: 22046943
52. Beaman FD, von Herrmann PF, Kransdorf MJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria((R)) Suspected Osteomyelitis, Septic Arthritis, or Soft Tissue Infection (Excluding Spine and Diabetic Foot). *Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR*. 2017;14(5s):S326-s37. Epub 2017/05/06. PMID: 28473089
53. Coffey MJ, Nightingale S, Ooi CY. Diagnosing acute pancreatitis in children: what is the diagnostic yield and concordance for serum pancreatic enzymes and imaging within 96 h of presentation? *Pancreatology : official journal of the International Association of Pancreatology (IAP)* [et al]. 2014;14(4):251-6. Epub 2014/07/27. PMID: 25062872

54. Treacy J, Williams A, Bais R, et al. Evaluation of amylase and lipase in the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis. *ANZ journal of surgery*. 2001;71(10):577-82. Epub 2001/09/13. PMID: 11552931
55. Quinlan JD. Acute pancreatitis. *American family physician*. 2014;90(9):632-9. Epub 2014/11/05. PMID: 25368923
56. Surlin V, Saftoiu A, Dumitrescu D. Imaging tests for accurate diagnosis of acute biliary pancreatitis. *World journal of gastroenterology*. 2014;20(44):16544-9. Epub 2014/12/04. PMID: 25469022
57. American Urological Association. Diagnosis, evaluation and follow-up of asymptomatic microhematuria (AMH) in adults. American Urological Association; 2012; reviewed 2016.
58. Pandharipande PV, Alabre CI, Coy DL, et al. Changes in Physician Decision Making after CT: A Prospective Multicenter Study in Primary Care Settings. *Radiology*. 2016;281(3):835-46. PMID: 27479641
59. Campbell S, Uzzo RG, Allaf ME, et al. Renal Mass and Localized Renal Cancer: AUA Guideline. *The Journal of urology*. 2017;198(3):520-9. Epub 2017/05/10. PMID: 28479239
60. American Urological Association. Clinical effectiveness protocols for imaging in the management of ureteral calculous disease: AUA technology assessment. American Urological Association; 2012.
61. European Association of Urology. Guidelines on urolithiasis. European Association of Urology; 2015.
62. Bredemeyer M. ACR Appropriateness Criteria for Acute Onset of Flank Pain with Suspicion of Stone Disease. *American family physician*. 2016;94(7):575-6. Epub 2016/12/09. PMID: 27929205
63. Samim M, Goss S, Luty S, et al. Incidental findings on CT for suspected renal colic in emergency department patients: prevalence and types in 5,383 consecutive examinations. *J*. 2015;12(1):63-9. PMID: 25557571
64. Abrahamian FM, Krishnadasan A, Mower WR, et al. Association of pyuria and clinical characteristics with the presence of urinary tract infection among patients with acute nephrolithiasis. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2013;62(5):526-33. PMID: 23850311
65. Smith-Bindman R, Aubin C, Bailitz J, et al. Ultrasonography versus computed tomography for suspected nephrolithiasis. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371(12):1100-10. PMID: 25229916
66. Lee JM, Kim MK, Ko SH, et al. Clinical Guidelines for the Management of Adrenal Incidentaloma. *Endocrinology and metabolism (Seoul, Korea)*. 2017;32(2):200-18. Epub 2017/07/08. PMID: 28685511
67. Allan BJ, Thorson CM, Van Haren RM, et al. Risk of concomitant malignancy in hyperfunctioning adrenal incidentalomas. *J Surg Res*. 2013;184(1):241-6. PMID: 23562276
68. Song JH, Grand DJ, Beland MD, et al. Morphologic features of 211 adrenal masses at initial contrast-enhanced CT: can we differentiate benign from malignant lesions using imaging features alone? *AJR American journal of roentgenology*. 2013;201(6):1248-53. PMID: 24261363
69. Fassnacht M, Arlt W, Bancos I, et al. Management of adrenal incidentalomas: European Society of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guideline in collaboration with the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumors. *European journal of endocrinology*. 2016;175(2):G1-g34. Epub 2016/07/09. PMID: 27390021
70. Earle D, Roth JS, Saber A, et al. SAGES guidelines for laparoscopic ventral hernia repair. *Surg Endosc*. 2016;30(8):3163-83. Epub 2016/07/14. PMID: 27405477
71. Simons MP, Aufenacker T, Bay-Nielsen M, et al. European Hernia Society guidelines on the treatment of inguinal hernia in adult patients. *Hernia : the journal of hernias and abdominal wall surgery*. 2009;13(4):343-403. Epub 2009/07/29. PMID: 19636493
72. Robinson P, Bhat V, English B. Imaging in the assessment and management of athletic pubalgia. *Seminars in musculoskeletal radiology*. 2011;15(1):14-26. Epub 2011/02/19. PMID: 21332017
73. Suarez JC, Ely EE, Mutnal AB, et al. Comprehensive approach to the evaluation of groin pain. *The Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*. 2013;21(9):558-70. Epub 2013/09/03. PMID: 23996987
74. Farber AJ, Wilckens JH. Sports hernia: diagnosis and therapeutic approach. *The Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*. 2007;15(8):507-14. Epub 2007/08/01. PMID: 17664370
75. Chronic abdominal pain in children. *Pediatrics*. 2005;115(3):812-5. Epub 2005/03/03. PMID: 15741394
76. Gaddey HL, Holder K. Unintentional weight loss in older adults. *American family physician*. 2014;89(9):718-22. Epub 2014/05/03. PMID: 24784334
77. Stajkovic S, Aitken EM, Holroyd-Leduc J. Unintentional weight loss in older adults. *CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne*. 2011;183(4):443-9. Epub 2011/02/18. PMID: 21324857

78. Wong CJ. Involuntary weight loss. *The Medical clinics of North America*. 2014;98(3):625-43. Epub 2014/04/25. PMID: 24758965
79. Bosch X, Monclus E, Escoda O, et al. Unintentional weight loss: Clinical characteristics and outcomes in a prospective cohort of 2677 patients. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(4):e0175125. Epub 2017/04/08. PMID: 28388637
80. NICE National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Irritable bowel syndrome in adults: diagnosis and management {CG61}. CG612017. p. 22.
81. Brandt LJ, Chey WD, Foxx-Orenstein AE, et al. An evidence-based position statement on the management of irritable bowel syndrome. *The American journal of gastroenterology*. 2009;104 Suppl 1:S1-35. Epub 2009/06/19. PMID: 19521341

## Codes

---

CPT® (Current Procedural Terminology) is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT® five digit codes, nomenclature and other data are copyright by the American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved. AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. AMA assumes no liability for the data contained herein or not contained herein.

---

The following codes may be applicable to abdominal and pelvic imaging and may not be all-inclusive.

### CPT

- 72192 CT pelvis without contrast
- 72193 CT pelvis with contrast
- 72194 CT pelvis without contrast, followed by re-imaging with contrast
- 72195 MRI pelvis without contrast
- 72196 MRI pelvis with contrast
- 72197 MRI pelvis without contrast, followed by re-imaging with contrast
- 74150 CT abdomen without contrast
- 74160 CT abdomen with contrast
- 74170 CT abdomen without contrast, followed by re-imaging with contrast
- 74176 CT abdomen and pelvis without contrast
- 74177 CT abdomen and pelvis with contrast
- 74178 CT abdomen and pelvis without contrast in one or both body regions, followed by re-imaging with contrast
- 74181 MRI abdomen without contrast
- 74182 MRI abdomen with contrast
- 74183 MRI abdomen without contrast, followed by re-imaging with contrast
- 74261 CT colonography diagnostic, including image post-processing, without contrast
- 74262 CT colonography diagnostic, including image post-processing, with contrast including non-contrast images, if performed
- 74263 CT colonography screening, including image post-processing
- 74712 MRI fetal, including placental and maternal pelvic imaging when performed, single or first gestation
- 74713 MRI fetal, including placental and maternal pelvic imaging when performed, each additional gestation (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)

### HCPCS

None

### ICD-10 Diagnosis

Refer to the ICD-10 CM manual

## History

Status	Date	Action
Restructured	01/01/2019	Advanced Imaging guidelines redesigned and reorganized to a condition-based structure
Reviewed and revised	03/01/2018	Last Independent Multispecialty Physician Panel review and revision
Created	03/30/2005	Original effective date