

Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines: Diagnostic Coronary Angiography

Appropriate Use Criteria

Effective Date: March 9, 2019

Proprietary

Date of Origin: 08/27/2015

Last revised: 02/01/2018

Last reviewed: 03/01/2018



8600 W Bryn Mawr Avenue

South Tower - Suite 800

Chicago, IL 60631

P. 773.864.4600

www.aimspecialtyhealth.com

Table of Contents



Description and Application of the Guidelines	3
General Clinical Guideline.....	4
Diagnostic Coronary Angiography.....	6

Description and Application of the Guidelines



AIM's Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines (hereinafter "AIM's Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines" or the "Guidelines") are designed to assist providers in making the most appropriate treatment decision for a specific clinical condition for an individual. As used by AIM, the Guidelines establish objective and evidence-based, where possible, criteria for medical necessity determinations. In the process, multiple functions are accomplished:

- To establish criteria for when services are medically necessary
- To assist the practitioner as an educational tool
- To encourage standardization of medical practice patterns
- To curtail the performance of inappropriate and/or duplicate services
- To advocate for patient safety concerns
- To enhance the quality of healthcare
- To promote the most efficient and cost-effective use of services

AIM's guideline development process complies with applicable accreditation standards, including the requirement that the Guidelines be developed with involvement from appropriate providers with current clinical expertise relevant to the Guidelines under review and be based on the most up to date clinical principles and best practices. Relevant citations are included in the "References" section attached to each Guideline. AIM reviews all of its Guidelines at least annually.

AIM makes its Guidelines publicly available on its website twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week. Copies of AIM's Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines are also available upon oral or written request. Although the Guidelines are publicly-available, AIM considers the Guidelines to be important, proprietary information of AIM, which cannot be sold, assigned, leased, licensed, reproduced or distributed without the written consent of AIM.

AIM applies objective and evidence-based criteria and takes individual circumstances and the local delivery system into account when determining the medical appropriateness of health care services. The AIM Guidelines are just guidelines for the provision of specialty health services. These criteria are designed to guide both providers and reviewers to the most appropriate services based on a patient's unique circumstances. In all cases, clinical judgment consistent with the standards of good medical practice should be used when applying the Guidelines. Guideline determinations are made based on the information provided at the time of the request. It is expected that medical necessity decisions may change as new information is provided or based on unique aspects of the patient's condition. The treating clinician has final authority and responsibility for treatment decisions regarding the care of the patient and for justifying and demonstrating the existence of medical necessity for the requested service. The Guidelines are not a substitute for the experience and judgment of a physician or other health care professionals. Any clinician seeking to apply or consult the Guidelines is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine any patient's care or treatment.

The Guidelines do not address coverage, benefit or other plan specific issues. Applicable federal and state coverage mandates take precedence over these clinical guidelines. If requested by a health plan, AIM will review requests based on health plan medical policy/guidelines in lieu of AIM's Guidelines.

The Guidelines may also be used by the health plan or by AIM for purposes of provider education, or to review the medical necessity of services by any provider who has been notified of the need for medical necessity review, due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers in terms of frequency or some other manner.

CPT® (Current Procedural Terminology) is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT® five digit codes, nomenclature and other data are copyright by the American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved. AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. AMA assumes no liability for the data contained herein or not contained herein.

Clinical Appropriateness Framework

Critical to any finding of clinical appropriateness under the guidelines for a specific diagnostic or therapeutic intervention are the following elements:

- Prior to any intervention, it is essential that the clinician confirm the diagnosis or establish its pretest likelihood based on a complete evaluation of the patient. This includes a history and physical examination and, where applicable, a review of relevant laboratory studies, diagnostic testing, and response to prior therapeutic intervention.
- The anticipated benefit of the recommended intervention should outweigh any potential harms that may result (net benefit).
- Current literature and/or standards of medical practice should support that the recommended intervention offers the greatest net benefit among competing alternatives.
- Based on the clinical evaluation, current literature, and standards of medical practice, there exists a reasonable likelihood that the intervention will change management and/or lead to an improved outcome for the patient.

If these elements are not established with respect to a given request, the determination of appropriateness will most likely require a peer-to-peer conversation to understand the individual and unique facts that would supersede the requirements set forth above. During the peer-to-peer conversation, factors such as patient acuity and setting of service may also be taken into account.

Simultaneous Ordering of Multiple Diagnostic or Therapeutic Interventions

Requests for multiple diagnostic or therapeutic interventions at the same time will often require a peer-to-peer conversation to understand the individual circumstances that support the medical necessity of performing all interventions simultaneously. This is based on the fact that appropriateness of additional intervention is often dependent on the outcome of the initial intervention.

Additionally, either of the following may apply:

- Current literature and/or standards of medical practice support that one of the requested diagnostic or therapeutic interventions is more appropriate in the clinical situation presented; or
- One of the diagnostic or therapeutic interventions requested is more likely to improve patient outcomes based on current literature and/or standards of medical practice.

Repeat Diagnostic Intervention

In general, repeated testing of the same anatomic location for the same indication should be limited to evaluation following an intervention, or when there is a change in clinical status such that additional testing is required to determine next steps in management. At times, it may be necessary to repeat a test using different techniques or protocols to clarify a finding or result of the original study.

Repeated testing for the same indication using the same or similar technology may be subject to additional review or require peer-to-peer conversation in the following scenarios:

- Repeated diagnostic testing at the same facility due to technical issues
- Repeated diagnostic testing requested at a different facility due to provider preference or quality concerns
- Repeated diagnostic testing of the same anatomic area based on persistent symptoms with no clinical change, treatment, or intervention since the previous study
- Repeated diagnostic testing of the same anatomic area by different providers for the same member over a short period of time

Repeat Therapeutic Intervention

In general, repeated therapeutic intervention in the same anatomic area is considered appropriate when the prior intervention proved effective or beneficial and the expected duration of relief has lapsed. A repeat intervention requested prior to the expected duration of relief is not appropriate unless it can be confirmed that the prior intervention was never administered.

History

Status	Date	Action
Revised	03/09/2019	Retitled Pretest Requirements to “Clinical Appropriateness Framework” to summarize the components of a decision to pursue diagnostic testing. To expand applicability beyond diagnostic imaging, retitled Ordering of Multiple Studies to “Simultaneous Ordering of Multiple Diagnostic or Therapeutic Interventions” and replaced imaging-specific terms with “diagnostic or therapeutic intervention.” Repeated Imaging split into two subsections, “repeat diagnostic intervention” and “repeat therapeutic intervention.”
Reviewed	07/11/2018	Last Independent Multispecialty Physician Panel review
Revised	07/26/2016	Independent Multispecialty Physician Panel revised
Created	03/30/2005	Original effective date

CPT Codes

- 93454..... Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation
- 93455..... Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial, venous grafts) including intraprocedural injection(s) for bypass graft angiography
- 93456..... Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with right heart catheterization
- 93457..... Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial, venous grafts) including intraprocedural injection(s) for bypass graft angiography and right heart catheterization
- 93458..... Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed
- 93459..... Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed, catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial, venous grafts) with bypass graft angiography
- 93460..... Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with right and left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed
- 93461..... Catheter placement in coronary artery(s) for coronary angiography, including intraprocedural injection(s) for coronary angiography, imaging supervision and interpretation; with right and left heart catheterization including intraprocedural injection(s) for left ventriculography, when performed, catheter placement(s) in bypass graft(s) (internal mammary, free arterial, venous grafts) with bypass graft angiography

Standard Anatomic Coverage

- Coronary arteries

Imaging Considerations

- This guideline does not supersede the enrollee's health plan medical policy specific to diagnostic coronary angiography.
- This document addresses the appropriate use of nonemergency coronary angiography. It does not pertain to coronary angiography when performed as part of an inpatient stay nor does it apply when urgent coronary angiography is performed in patients with unstable coronary syndrome (myocardial infarction and/or unstable angina pectoris).
- In addition to coronary angiography, diagnostic cardiac catheterization may include any or all of the following: left heart catheterization, right heart catheterization, left ventriculography, right ventriculography, aortography and intracardiac shunt studies. Only procedures which provide clinically relevant information should be performed at the time of coronary angiography.
- Selection of the optimal diagnostic imaging for cardiac evaluation should be made within the context of other available modalities (which include treadmill stress test, myocardial perfusion imaging, stress echocardiography, cardiac CT, cardiac MRI and Cardiac PET), so that the resulting information facilitates patient management decisions and does not merely add a new layer of testing.

- Duplicative testing or repeat imaging of the same anatomic area with same or similar technology may be subject to high-level review and may not be medically necessary unless there is a persistent diagnostic problem or there has been a change in clinical status (e.g., deterioration) or there is a medical intervention which warrants interval reassessment.
- Although the risk benefit ratio for any procedure should dictate clinical appropriateness on a case by case basis, advanced age, advanced renal disease advanced malignancy or coagulopathy should be considered relative contraindications to coronary angiography.
- Providers who refer patients for coronary angiography and those who perform such procedures are responsible for considering safety issues. One of the most significant considerations is the requirement for intravascular iodinated contrast material, which may have an adverse effect on patients with a history of documented allergic contrast reactions or atopy, as well as on individuals with renal impairment, who are at greater risk for contrast-induced nephropathy.
- Since coronary angiography requires the use of fluoroscopy, it is critically important that every effort be made to minimize both patient and laboratory staff exposure to ionizing radiation.

Requirements for Diagnostic Coronary Angiography

- Elective coronary angiography is generally to be considered only when a patient has undergone noninvasive evaluation.
- Coronary angiography requires conscious sedation; it should only be performed at locations where cardiac monitoring and appropriate equipment for cardiopulmonary resuscitation are readily available.
- Coronary arteriography is never clinically appropriate when used as a screening test in asymptomatic individuals.

Table 1: Classification of EKG treadmill test results (performed without imaging)*

Low risk EKG treadmill test result	Duke treadmill score > or = +5
Intermediate risk EKG treadmill test result	Duke treadmill score -10 to +4
High risk EKG treadmill test result	Duke treadmill score < or = -11; OR ST segment elevation; OR Hypotension with exercise; OR Ventricular tachycardia; OR Prolonged ST segment depression

Table 2: Classification of results of stress tests performed with imaging*

	SPECT MPI or Stress PET	Stress Echocardiography
Low risk	< 5% ischemic myocardium	No stress induced WMA
Intermediate risk	5% – 10% ischemic myocardium	Stress induced WMA in a single segment
High risk	> 10% ischemic myocardium Stress induced WMA in > or = 2 segments; OR TID; OR Significant stress induced LV dysfunction	Stress induced WMA in > or = 2 segments; OR TID; OR Significant stress induced LV dysfunction

MPI = myocardial perfusion imaging; TID = transient ischemic LV dilation; WMA = wall motion abnormality

Table 3: Pre-test probability of coronary artery disease by age, gender and symptoms*

Age (yr)	Gender	Typical/Definite Angina Pectoris	Atypical/Probable Angina Pectoris	Non-Anginal Chest Pain	Asymptomatic
30-39	Men	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low	Very Low
	Women	Intermediate	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
40-49	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
	Women	Intermediate	Low	Very Low	Very Low
50-59	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
	Women	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low	Very Low
60-69	Men	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low
	Women	High	Intermediate	Intermediate	Low

*Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3 are excerpted from the ACCF/SCAI/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCCM/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2012 Appropriate Use Criteria for Diagnostic Catheterization (Patel, 2012).

Common Diagnostic Indications for Coronary Angiography

Patients with Established Coronary Artery Disease (CAD)

- Asymptomatic patients with high-risk findings on noninvasive stress testing (see Tables 1 and 2); **OR**
- Symptomatic patients with **any of the following**
 - Intermediate or high-risk findings on noninvasive stress testing (see Tables 1 and 2); **OR**
 - Persistent symptoms despite use of (or contraindication to) guideline directed antianginal medical therapy; **OR**
 - Angina, heart failure, or arrhythmia within 90 days of myocardial infarction when coronary angiography was not performed at the time of the infarction

Patients with Suspected CAD

- Asymptomatic patient with **any of the following**
 - High-risk findings on noninvasive stress testing (see Tables 1 and 2); **OR**
 - Resting LV systolic dysfunction (ejection fraction 40% or less) with evidence of viability in the dysfunctional segment; **OR**
 - Lesions of unclear severity (left main) on CCTA
- Symptomatic patient with **any of the following**
 - High pretest probability (see Table 3) of CAD based on (age, gender and symptom description) in a patient who has high-risk of cardiac death (SCORE risk > 5%); **OR**
 - Intermediate or high-risk findings on noninvasive stress testing (see Tables 1 and 2); **OR**
 - Low-risk findings on noninvasive stress testing (see Tables 1 and 2) in patient with ongoing ischemic equivalent symptoms; **OR**
 - Equivocal or uninterpretable noninvasive stress testing; **OR**
 - Resting LV systolic dysfunction (ejection fraction 40% or less) with evidence of viability in the dysfunctional segment; **OR**
 - Newly recognized LV systolic dysfunction (ejection fraction < or = 49%) of unknown etiology; **OR**
 - Newly recognized regional wall motion abnormality of unknown etiology (regardless of ejection fraction); **OR**
 - CCTA finding of > 50% stenosis; **OR**
 - Lesions of unclear severity (left main or non left main) on CCTA

Common Diagnostic Indications for Coronary Angiography

Patients with either Suspected or Established CAD

- Patients resuscitated from sudden cardiac death or with documented ventricular fibrillation or sustained ventricular tachycardia; **OR**
- Following cardiac transplant in a patient who has not undergone coronary angiography in the preceding six months; **OR**
- Patients scheduled to undergo valve replacement/repair who fall into **any of the following** categories:
 - Male > 40 years; **OR**
 - Women who are postmenopausal; **OR**
 - Persons with known CAD; **OR**
 - Persons with moderate or high risk of CAD (based on established risk calculations such as SCORE or Framingham); **OR**
- Congenital heart disease with suspected coexistent CAD and **either of the following**
 - To exclude coexistent atheromatous CAD in patients undergoing surgical repair of congenital heart disease who have moderate or high risk of CAD (SCORE); **OR**
 - To evaluate patients with suspected congenital anomalous coronary artery abnormalities

References

1. American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines; American Society of Echocardiography; American Society of Nuclear Cardiology; Heart Rhythm Society; Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists; Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions; Society for Vascular Medicine; Society for Vascular Surgery, Fleisher LA, Beckman JA, Brown KA, et al. 2009 ACCF/AHA focused Update on Perioperative Beta Blockade Incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2007 Guidelines on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation and Care for Noncardiac Surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009; 54(22):e13–e118.
2. Bashore TM, Balter S, Barac A, et al. 2012 American College of Cardiology Foundation/Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions expert consensus document on cardiac catheterization laboratory standards update: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Expert Consensus documents developed in collaboration with the Society of Thoracic Surgeons and Society for Vascular Medicine. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012; 59(24):2221-2305.
3. Costanzo MR, Dipchand A, Starling R, et al. The International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation Guidelines for the care of heart transplant recipients. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2010; 29(8):914-956.
4. Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA*. 2001; 285(19):2486–97.
5. Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012; 60(24):e44–e164.
6. Gibbons RJ, Abrams J, Chatterjee K, et al. ACC/AHA 2002 guideline update for the management of patients with chronic stable angina--summary article: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines (Committee on the Management of Patients with Chronic Stable Angina). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003; 41(1):159–68.
7. Nishimura RA, Otto CM, Bonow RO, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC Guidelines for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014; 63(22):e57-185.
8. Patel MR, Bailey SR, Bonow RO, et al. ACCF/SCAI/AATS/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCCM/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2012 appropriate use criteria for diagnostic catheterization: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society of Critical Care Medicine, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012; 59(22):1995–2027.

9. Scanlon PJ, Faxon DP, Audet AM, et al. ACC/AHA Guidelines for coronary angiography: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines (Committee on Coronary Angiography). Developed in collaboration with the Society for Cardiac Angiography and Interventions. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1999; 33(6):1756-1824.
10. Shaw LJ, Peterson ED, Shaw LK, et al. Use of a prognostic treadmill score in identifying diagnostic coronary disease subgroups. *Circulation*. 1998; 98(16):1622–30.
11. Warnes CA, Williams RG, Bashore TM, et al. ACC/AHA 2008 Guidelines for the management of adults with congenital heart disease: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (writing committee to develop guidelines for the management of adults with congenital heart disease). *Circulation*. 2008; 118(23):2395-2451.
12. Wolk MJ, Bailey SR, Doherty JU, et al. ACCF/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2013 multimodality appropriate use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014; 63(4):380-406.
13. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA Guidelines for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *Circulation*. 2013; 128(16):e240-e327.