

Musculoskeletal Program

Level of Care for Musculoskeletal Surgery and Procedures

EFFECTIVE MARCH 9, 2019

LAST REVIEWED JULY 11, 2018



8600 West Bryn Mawr Avenue
South Tower – Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60631
www.aimspecialtyhealth.com

Appropriate.Safe.Affordable

© 2019 AIM Specialty Health
2078-0319
V.2

Table of Contents

Description and Application of the Guidelines	3
General Clinical Guideline	4
Clinical Appropriateness Framework	4
Simultaneous Ordering of Multiple Diagnostic or Therapeutic Interventions	4
Repeat Diagnostic Intervention	4
Repeat Therapeutic Intervention	5
History.....	5
Level of Care Guidelines for Musculoskeletal Surgery and Procedures	6
General Information/Overview.....	6
Guidelines	7
Selected References	10
Coding.....	14
Appendix A. ASA Physical Status Classification System	19
History.....	19

Description and Application of the Guidelines

AIM Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines (hereinafter “AIM Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines” or the “Guidelines”) are designed to assist providers in making the most appropriate treatment decision for a specific clinical condition for an individual. As used by AIM, the Guidelines establish objective and evidence-based, where possible, criteria for medical necessity determinations. In the process, multiple functions are accomplished:

- To establish criteria for when services are medically necessary
- To assist the practitioner as an educational tool
- To encourage standardization of medical practice patterns
- To curtail the performance of inappropriate and/or duplicate services
- To advocate for patient safety
- To enhance the quality of healthcare
- To promote the most efficient and cost-effective use of services

AIM guideline development process complies with applicable accreditation standards, including the requirement that the Guidelines be developed with involvement from appropriate providers with current clinical expertise relevant to the Guidelines under review and be based on the most up-to-date clinical principles and best practices. Relevant citations are included in the “References” section attached to each Guideline. AIM reviews all of its Guidelines at least annually.

AIM makes its Guidelines publicly available on its website twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week. Copies of the AIM Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines are also available upon verbal or written request. Although the Guidelines are publicly available, AIM considers the Guidelines to be important, proprietary information of AIM, which cannot be sold, assigned, leased, licensed, reproduced, or distributed without the written consent of AIM.

AIM applies objective and evidence-based criteria and takes individual circumstances and the local delivery system into account when determining the medical appropriateness of health care services. These criteria are designed to guide both providers and reviewers to the most appropriate services based on a patient’s unique circumstances. In all cases, clinical judgment consistent with the standards of good medical practice should be used when applying the Guidelines. Determinations based on the Guidelines are made based on the information provided at the time of the request. It is expected that medical necessity determinations may change as new information is provided or based on unique aspects of the patient’s condition. The treating clinician has final authority and responsibility for treatment decisions regarding the care of the patient and for justifying and demonstrating the existence of medical necessity for the requested service. The Guidelines are not a substitute for the experience and judgment of a physician or other health care professional. Any clinician seeking to apply or consult the Guidelines is expected to use independent medical judgment in the context of individual clinical circumstances to determine any patient’s care or treatment.

The Guidelines do not address coverage, benefit, or other plan specific issues. Applicable federal and state coverage mandates take precedence over these clinical guidelines. If requested by a health plan, AIM will review requests based on health plan medical policy/guidelines in lieu of the AIM Guidelines.

The Guidelines may also be used by the health plan or by AIM for purposes of provider education, or to review the medical necessity of services, due to billing practices or claims that are not consistent with other providers in terms of frequency or some other manner.

General Clinical Guideline

Clinical Appropriateness Framework

Critical to any finding of clinical appropriateness under the guidelines for a specific diagnostic or therapeutic intervention are the following elements:

- Prior to any intervention, it is essential that the clinician confirm the diagnosis or establish its pretest likelihood based on a complete evaluation of the patient. This includes a history and physical examination and, where applicable, a review of relevant laboratory studies, diagnostic testing, and response to prior therapeutic intervention.
- The anticipated benefit of the recommended intervention should outweigh any potential harms that may result (net benefit).
- Current literature and/or standards of medical practice should support that the recommended intervention offers the greatest net benefit among competing alternatives.
- Based on the clinical evaluation, current literature, and standards of medical practice, there exists a reasonable likelihood that the intervention will change management and/or lead to an improved outcome for the patient.

If these elements are not established with respect to a given request, the determination of appropriateness will most likely require a peer-to-peer conversation to understand the individual and unique facts that would supersede the requirements set forth above. During the peer-to-peer conversation, factors such as patient acuity and setting of service may also be taken into account.

Simultaneous Ordering of Multiple Diagnostic or Therapeutic Interventions

Requests for multiple diagnostic or therapeutic interventions at the same time will often require a peer-to-peer conversation to understand the individual circumstances that support the medical necessity of performing all interventions simultaneously. This is based on the fact that appropriateness of additional intervention is often dependent on the outcome of the initial intervention.

Additionally, either of the following may apply:

- Current literature and/or standards of medical practice support that one of the requested diagnostic or therapeutic interventions is more appropriate in the clinical situation presented; or
- One of the diagnostic or therapeutic interventions requested is more likely to improve patient outcomes based on current literature and/or standards of medical practice.

Repeat Diagnostic Intervention

In general, repeated testing of the same anatomic location for the same indication should be limited to evaluation following an intervention, or when there is a change in clinical status such that additional testing is required to determine next steps in management. At times, it may be necessary to repeat a test using different techniques or protocols to clarify a finding or result of the original study.

Repeated testing for the same indication using the same or similar technology may be subject to additional review or require peer-to-peer conversation in the following scenarios:

- Repeated diagnostic testing at the same facility due to technical issues
- Repeated diagnostic testing requested at a different facility due to provider preference or quality concerns
- Repeated diagnostic testing of the same anatomic area based on persistent symptoms with no clinical change, treatment, or intervention since the previous study
- Repeated diagnostic testing of the same anatomic area by different providers for the same member over a short period of time

Repeat Therapeutic Intervention

In general, repeated therapeutic intervention in the same anatomic area is considered appropriate when the prior intervention proved effective or beneficial and the expected duration of relief has lapsed. A repeat intervention requested prior to the expected duration of relief is not appropriate unless it can be confirmed that the prior intervention was never administered.

History

Status	Date	Action
Revised	03/09/2019	Retitled Pretest Requirements to "Clinical Appropriateness Framework" to summarize the components of a decision to pursue diagnostic testing. To expand applicability beyond diagnostic imaging, retitled Ordering of Multiple Studies to "Simultaneous Ordering of Multiple Diagnostic or Therapeutic Interventions" and replaced imaging-specific terms with "diagnostic or therapeutic intervention." Repeated Imaging split into two subsections, "repeat diagnostic intervention" and "repeat therapeutic intervention."
Reviewed	07/11/2018	Last Independent Multispecialty Physician Panel review
Revised	07/26/2016	Independent Multispecialty Physician Panel revised
Created	03/30/2005	Original effective date

Level of Care Guidelines for Musculoskeletal Surgery and Procedures

General Information/Overview

Scope

Evidence is growing that supports the safety and effectiveness of the outpatient surgery setting for many orthopedic and spine surgical procedures. Procedures that have historically been performed in the inpatient setting are now being successfully performed in the outpatient surgery setting. Factors that have contributed to this movement include:

- Equal or better outcomes compared to inpatient setting
- Minimal invasive techniques and improved surgical technologies
- Improved anesthesia techniques and more effective postoperative pain management
- Lower costs and operational efficiency

Appropriate patient selection for the outpatient setting is paramount. It may be medically necessary for patients with certain risk factors and undergoing certain procedures to have their procedures performed in the inpatient setting.

The intent of this guideline is to assist in determining the appropriate level of care necessary to safely and effectively perform the intended surgical procedure. Provider should submit the required supporting medical documentation to include but not limited to the following:

- Provider office notes detailing preoperative medical optimization
- List of managed or unmanaged comorbidities and/or other surgical risk factors
- If requested, the specific reason for an inpatient preoperative day
- Copies of medical consultations or clearances
- American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status (see [Appendix A](#)), Charlson Comorbidity Index score, or other validated surgical risk score if necessary, to support the requested level of care

This guideline does not address the medical necessity of the procedure itself. The prior authorization process for medical necessity of the surgical procedure is completed separately and precedes the level of care determination. The procedure must meet the respective AIM Musculoskeletal Surgery guideline for clinical appropriateness prior to level of care determination.

Definitions

Outpatient Surgical Setting

An outpatient surgical procedure is defined as one where a patient arrives and is registered at a setting other than the acute inpatient hospital setting, undergoes the procedure, and is discharged the same day or within the timeframe for observation defined by patient's health plan contract and/or local government regulatory agency. Such settings may include Observation Care, Hospital Outpatient Department (on or off campus), Ambulatory Surgical Center or Physician Office. For the purposes of this guideline, procedures performed in a Physician Office are out of scope.

Observation Surgical Setting

Observation is a special form of hospital outpatient care that provides interim services in place of an inpatient admission to allow for a reasonable period of time to evaluate and determine the need for further treatment or for inpatient admission. There is evidence that the characteristics of observation care in clinical practice differ from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services definition and that use of observation care is growing with short inpatient stays being the third most common reason to admit for observation. Individual cases admitted to Observation Care may undergo concurrent clinical review to assess the need for transfer to acute inpatient setting. Maximum length of stay in Observation Care is governed by the patient's health plan contract and/or local government regulatory agency.

Surgeons who request inpatient admission for an outpatient musculoskeletal procedure and who decline Observation Care will need to provide clinical documentation to support the need for direct admission to an acute inpatient setting.

Inpatient Surgical Setting

The inpatient surgical setting, rather than the outpatient setting, is required only if the patient's safety or health would be significantly and directly threatened if care were provided in a less intensive setting. The selection of surgical setting is not justified when it is solely for the convenience of the patient, the patient's family, or the provider.

Guidelines

Acute Inpatient Surgical Setting

The acute inpatient surgical setting may be considered medically necessary when at least one of the following requirements are met:

- Current postoperative care requirements are of such an intensity and/or duration that they cannot be met in an observation or outpatient surgical setting.
- Anticipated postoperative care requirements cannot be met, even initially, in an observational surgical setting due to the complexity, duration, or extent of the planned procedure and/or substantial preoperative patient risk.

Observation Outpatient Surgical Setting

The observation surgical setting may be considered medically necessary in patients with one or more preprocedural clinical risk factors that increase the likelihood of inpatient admission.

Note: The presence of medical and/or psychiatric comorbidities alone may not always justify an observation surgical setting, but consideration should be given if poorly controlled, unstable, untreated, or anticipated to require treatment postoperatively.

- Demographic/constitutional
 - Age 65 and above
 - BMI greater than 40 kg/m²
 - Pregnancy
- Medical risk factors
 - Charlson Comorbidity Index score greater than 2, ASA class greater than 2, or other attestation of comorbid status
 - Recent venous thromboembolic event
 - Severe or uncontrolled diabetes
 - Severe anemia (e.g., hemoglobin ≤ 10)
 - Coagulopathy
 - Recent unexplained weight loss
 - Malnutrition
 - Chronic pulmonary disease
 - COPD, severe and/or oxygen dependent
 - Respiratory distress
 - Obstructive sleep apnea
 - Liver disease including but not limited to cirrhosis
 - Vascular
 - Cardiovascular disease
 - Myocardial infarction within 6 months of intended surgery
 - Angina pectoris with severe functional limitation
 - Cardiac arrhythmia
 - Implantable cardiac device (defibrillator, pacemaker)
 - Congestive heart failure
 - Cerebrovascular disease
 - Recent stroke or transient ischemic attack
 - Uncontrolled preoperative pain
 - Prior complication of anesthesia
 - Prior postoperative complication
 - Ileus
 - Urinary retention
- Psychiatric/cognitive
 - Ongoing substance abuse
 - Cognitive impairment
- Functional status
 - Patient unable to care for individual needs
 - Functional impairment likely to necessitate inpatient rehabilitation after surgery (example: moderate to severe myelopathy)
 - Patient is at high risk for falls

Outpatient Surgical Setting (excluding Observation)

The nonobservation surgical setting includes Ambulatory Surgery Center or Hospital-based Outpatient Department and may be considered medically necessary for elective spine and joint surgery in low risk patients and procedures as follows:

Note: These requirements do not prohibit providers from performing these procedures in Ambulatory Surgery Center for carefully selected higher risk patients (e.g., physiologic age < biological age, medically optimized, uneventful prior procedure) according to their professional medical judgement.

Hospital-based Outpatient Department

- Patient meets all of the following:
 - Age less than 65
 - BMI less than or equal to 40 kg/m²
 - Low medical comorbidity risk
 - Safe post surgical disposition
- All necessary staff, equipment, and resources are available to safely and effectively perform the requested procedure in an ambulatory surgical center
 - Cervical
 - One- or two-level anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) between C3 and C7
 - One- or two-level cervical disc arthroplasty between C3 and C7
 - One- or two-level foraminotomy
 - Lumbar
 - One- or two-level discectomy and/or decompression (laminectomy, laminotomy, or foraminotomy)
 - Vertebroplasty
 - Kyphoplasty
 - Joint
 - Total or partial primary knee arthroplasty for unilateral osteoarthritis
 - Total or partial primary hip arthroplasty for unilateral osteoarthritis

Ambulatory Surgery Center with 23-hour observation

- Patient meets all of the following:
 - Age less than 65
 - BMI less than or equal to 40 kg/m²
 - Low medical comorbidity risk
 - Safe post surgical disposition
- All necessary staff, equipment, and resources are available to safely and effectively perform the requested procedure in the ambulatory surgical center
 - Cervical
 - One- or two-level anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) between C3 and C7
 - One- or two-level cervical disc arthroplasty between C3 and C7
 - One- or two-level foraminotomy

- Lumbar
 - One- or two-level discectomy and/or decompression (laminectomy, laminotomy, or foraminotomy)
- Vertebroplasty
- Kyphoplasty
- Joint
 - Total or partial primary knee arthroplasty for unilateral osteoarthritis
 - Total or partial primary hip arthroplasty for unilateral osteoarthritis
- Facility has the capability for minimum of 23-hour observation

Ambulatory Surgery Center with or without 23-hour observation

- The following procedure can be safely performed in the Ambulatory Surgical Center with or without 23 hours of observation
- Arthroscopy

Selected References

Joint

- 1 Adamson, T, Godil, SS, Mehrlich, M, et al. Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion in the outpatient ambulatory surgery setting compared with the inpatient hospital setting: analysis of 1000 consecutive cases. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2016;24(6):878-84.
- 2 Basques, BA, Erickson, BJ, Leroux, T, et al. Comparative outcomes of outpatient and inpatient total shoulder arthroplasty: an analysis of the Medicare dataset. *Bone Joint J*. 2017;99-B(7):934-8.
- 3 Berstock, JR, Beswick, AD, Lenguerrand, E, et al. Mortality after total hip replacement surgery: A systematic review. *Bone & joint research*. 2014;3(6):175-82.
- 4 Best, NM, Sasso, RC. Outpatient lumbar spine decompression in 233 patients 65 years of age or older. *Spine*. 2007;32(10):1135-9; discussion 40.
- 5 Bradley, B, Middleton, S, Davis, N, et al. Discharge on the day of surgery following unicompartmental knee arthroplasty within the United Kingdom NHS. *Bone Joint J*. 2017;99-B(6):788-92.
- 6 Brolin, TJ, Mulligan, RP, Azar, FM, et al. Neer Award 2016: Outpatient total shoulder arthroplasty in an ambulatory surgery center is a safe alternative to inpatient total shoulder arthroplasty in a hospital: a matched cohort study. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2017;26(2):204-8.
- 7 Cancienne, JM, Brockmeier, SF, Gulotta, LV, et al. Ambulatory Total Shoulder Arthroplasty: A Comprehensive Analysis of Current Trends, Complications, Readmissions, and Costs. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2017;99(8):629-37.
- 8 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment CMS-1678-FC Addendum E: HCPCS Codes That Would Be Paid Only as Inpatient Procedures for CY 2018 (2017). Available at <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/HospitalOutpatientPPS/Hospital-Outpatient-Regulations-and-Notices-Items/CMS-1678-FC.html?DLPage=1&DLEntries=10&DLSort=2&DLSortDir=descending> Accessed January 11, 2018.
- 9 Colvin, AC, Egorova, N, Harrison, AK, et al. National trends in rotator cuff repair. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2012;94(3):227-33.
- 10 Courtney, PM, Boniello, AJ, Berger, RA. Complications Following Outpatient Total Joint Arthroplasty: An Analysis of a National Database. *J Arthroplasty*. 2017;32(5):1426-30.
- 11 De Beule, J, Vandenneucker, H, Claes, S, et al. Can anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction be performed routinely in day clinic? *Acta Orthop Belg*. 2014;80(3):391-6.
- 12 Goyal, N, Chen, AF, Padgett, SE, et al. Otto Aufranc Award: A Multicenter, Randomized Study of Outpatient versus Inpatient Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop*. 2017;475(2):364-72.
- 13 Hofstede, SN, Gademan, MG, Vliet Vlieland, TP, et al. Preoperative predictors for outcomes after total hip replacement in patients with osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2016;17:212.

- 14 Huang, A, Ryu, JJ, Dervin, G. Cost savings of outpatient versus standard inpatient total knee arthroplasty. *Can J Surg*. 2017;60(1):57-62.
- 15 Iyengar, JJ, Samagh, SP, Schairer, W, et al. Current trends in rotator cuff repair: surgical technique, setting, and cost. *Arthroscopy*. 2014;30(3):284-8.
- 16 Kadhim, M, Gans, I, Baldwin, K, et al. Do Surgical Times and Efficiency Differ Between Inpatient and Ambulatory Surgery Centers That are Both Hospital Owned? *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2016;36(4):423-8.
- 17 Klapwijk, LC, Mathijssen, NM, Van Egmond, JC, et al. The first 6 weeks of recovery after primary total hip arthroplasty with fast track. *Acta Orthop*. 2017;88(2):140-4.
- 18 Kolisek, FR, McGrath, MS, Jessup, NM, et al. Comparison of outpatient versus inpatient total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop*. 2009;467(6):1438-42.
- 19 Kunutsor, SK, Whitehouse, MR, Blom, AW, et al. Patient-Related Risk Factors for Periprosthetic Joint Infection after Total Joint Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(3):e0150866.
- 20 Lang, SS, Chen, HI, Koch, MJ, et al. Development of an outpatient protocol for lumbar discectomy: our institutional experience. *World Neurosurg*. 2014;82(5):897-901.
- 21 Lee, DK, Kim, HJ, Lee, DH. Incidence of Deep Vein Thrombosis and Venous Thromboembolism following TKA in Rheumatoid Arthritis versus Osteoarthritis: A Meta-Analysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(12):e0166844.
- 22 Lefevre, N, Klouche, S, de Pamphilis, O, et al. Postoperative discomfort after outpatient anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a prospective comparative study. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. 2015;101(2):163-6.
- 23 Leroux, TS, Basques, BA, Frank, RM, et al. Outpatient total shoulder arthroplasty: a population-based study comparing adverse event and readmission rates to inpatient total shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2016;25(11):1780-6.
- 24 Lovald, ST, Ong, KL, Malkani, AL, et al. Complications, mortality, and costs for outpatient and short-stay total knee arthroplasty patients in comparison to standard-stay patients. *J Arthroplasty*. 2014;29(3):510-5.
- 25 Lovecchio, F, Alvi, H, Sahota, S, et al. Is Outpatient Arthroplasty as Safe as Fast-Track Inpatient Arthroplasty? A Propensity Score Matched Analysis. *J Arthroplasty*. 2016;31(9 Suppl):197-201.
- 26 Lyman, S, Koulouvaris, P, Sherman, S, et al. Epidemiology of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: trends, readmissions, and subsequent knee surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2009;91(10):2321-8.
- 27 Maletis, GB, Inacio, MC, Reynolds, S, et al. Incidence of symptomatic venous thromboembolism after elective knee arthroscopy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2012;94(8):714-20.
- 28 Mall, NA, Chalmers, PN, Moric, M, et al. Incidence and trends of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in the United States. *Am J Sports Med*. 2014;42(10):2363-70.
- 29 McGirt, MJ, Godil, SS, Asher, AL, et al. Quality analysis of anterior cervical discectomy and fusion in the outpatient versus inpatient setting: analysis of 7288 patients from the NSQIP database. *Neurosurg*. 2015;39(6):E9.
- 30 Nelson, SJ, Webb, ML, Lukasiewicz, AM, et al. Is Outpatient Total Hip Arthroplasty Safe? *J Arthroplasty*. 2017;32(5):1439-42.
- 31 Observation or inpatient? get it right up front. Hospital case management: the monthly update on hospital-based care planning and critical paths. 2012;20(1):1-3.
- 32 Overman, RA, Freburger, JK, Assimon, MM, et al. Observation stays in administrative claims databases: underestimation of hospitalized cases. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety*. 2014;23(9):902-10.
- 33 Parcels, BW, Giacobbe, D, Macknet, D, et al. Total Joint Arthroplasty in a Stand-alone Ambulatory Surgical Center: Short-term Outcomes. *Orthopedics*. 2016;39(4):223-8.
- 34 Schuttler, S, Andjelkov, N. Articular cartilage surgery in outpatients: a pilot study. *J Knee Surg*. 2011;24(2):125-7.
- 35 Schwappach, DL, Strasmann, TJ. Does location matter? A study of the public's preferences for surgical care provision. *J Eval Clin Pract*. 2007;13(2):259-64.
- 36 Sheehy, AM, Graf, B, Gangireddy, S, et al. Hospitalized but not Admitted: Characteristics of Patients with "Observation Status" at an Academic Medical Center. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2013;173(21):1991-8.
- 37 Si, HB, Zeng, Y, Shen, B, et al. The influence of body mass index on the outcomes of primary total knee arthroplasty. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2015;23(6):1824-32.
- 38 Simon, CB, Coronado, RA, Greenfield, WH, 3rd, et al. Predicting Pain and Disability After Shoulder Arthroscopy: Rotator Cuff Tear Severity and Concomitant Arthroscopic Procedures. *The Clinical journal of pain*. 2016;32(5):404-10.
- 39 Springer, BD, Odum, SM, Vegari, DN, et al. Impact of Inpatient Versus Outpatient Total Joint Arthroplasty on 30-Day Hospital Readmission Rates and Unplanned Episodes of Care. *Orthop Clin North Am*. 2017;48(1):15-23.

- 40 Stieber, JR, Brown, K, Donald, GD, et al. Anterior cervical decompression and fusion with plate fixation as an outpatient procedure. *Spine J.* 2005;5(5):503-7.
- 41 Valkering, KP, van Bergen, CJ, Buijze, GA, et al. Pain experience and functional outcome of inpatient versus outpatient anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, an equivalence randomized controlled trial with 12 months follow-up. *Knee.* 2015;22(2):111-6.

Spine

- 1 Abdallah, DY, Jadaan, MM, McCabe, JP. Body mass index and risk of surgical site infection following spine surgery: a meta-analysis. *Eur Spine J.* 2013;22(12):2800-9.
- 2 Adamson, T, Godil, SS, Mehrlich, M, et al. Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion in the outpatient ambulatory surgery setting compared with the inpatient hospital setting: analysis of 1000 consecutive cases. *J Neurosurg Spine.* 2016;24(6):878-84.
- 3 Ban, D, Liu, Y, Cao, T, et al. Safety of outpatient anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Med Res.* 2016;21(1):34.
- 4 Bernatz, JT, Anderson, PA. Thirty-day readmission rates in spine surgery: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurosurg.* 2015;39(4):E7.
- 5 Best, NM, Sasso, RC. Outpatient lumbar spine decompression in 233 patients 65 years of age or older. *Spine.* 2007;32(10):1135-9; discussion 40.
- 6 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment CMS-1678-FC Addendum E: HCPCS Codes That Would Be Paid Only as Inpatient Procedures for CY 2018 (2017). Available at <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/HospitalOutpatientPPS/Hospital-Outpatient-Regulations-and-Notices-Items/CMS-1678-FC.html?DLPage=1&DLEntries=10&DLSort=2&DLSortDir=descending> Accessed January 11, 2018.
- 7 Chin, KR, Pencle, FJ, Coombs, AV, et al. Lateral Lumbar Interbody Fusion in Ambulatory Surgery Centers: Patient Selection and Outcome Measures Compared With an Inhospital Cohort. *Spine.* 2016;41(8):686-92.
- 8 Di Capua, J, Somani, S, Kim, JS, et al. Analysis of Risk Factors for Major Complications Following Elective Posterior Lumbar Fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2017;42(17):1347-54.
- 9 Emami, A, Faloon, M, Issa, K, et al. Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion in the Outpatient Setting. *Orthopedics.* 2016;39(6):e1218-e22.
- 10 Fei, Q, Li, J, Lin, J, et al. Risk Factors for Surgical Site Infection After Spinal Surgery: A Meta-Analysis. *World Neurosurg.* 2016;95:507-15.
- 11 Helseth, O, Lied, B, Halvorsen, CM, et al. Outpatient Cervical and Lumbar Spine Surgery is Feasible and Safe: A Consecutive Single Center Series of 1449 Patients. *Neurosurgery.* 2015;76(6):728-37; discussion 37-8.
- 12 Jiang, J, Teng, Y, Fan, Z, et al. Does obesity affect the surgical outcome and complication rates of spinal surgery? A meta-analysis. *Clin Orthop.* 2014;472(3):968-75.
- 13 Kurtz, SM, Lau, E, Ong, KL, et al. Infection risk for primary and revision instrumented lumbar spine fusion in the Medicare population. *J Neurosurg Spine.* 2012;17(4):342-7.
- 14 Lang, SS, Chen, HI, Koch, MJ, et al. Development of an outpatient protocol for lumbar discectomy: our institutional experience. *World Neurosurg.* 2014;82(5):897-901.
- 15 Lied, B, Sundseth, J, Helseth, E. Immediate (0-6 h), early (6-72 h) and late (>72 h) complications after anterior cervical discectomy with fusion for cervical disc degeneration; discharge six hours after operation is feasible. *Acta Neurochir (Wien).* 2008;150(2):111-8; discussion 8.
- 16 Lim, S, Carabini, LM, Kim, RB, et al. Evaluation of American Society of Anesthesiologists classification as 30-day morbidity predictor after single-level elective anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. *Spine J.* 2017;17(3):313-20.
- 17 Liu, FY, Yang, DL, Huang, WZ, et al. Risk factors for dysphagia after anterior cervical spine surgery: A meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2017;96(10):e6267.
- 18 Liu, JT, Briner, RP, Friedman, JA. Comparison of inpatient vs. outpatient anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: a retrospective case series. *BMC surg.* 2009;9:3.
- 19 Martin, CT, Pugely, AJ, Gao, Y, et al. Incidence and risk factors for early wound complications after spinal arthrodesis in children: analysis of 30-day follow-up data from the ACS-NSQIP. *Spine.* 2014;39(18):1463-70.
- 20 Martin, CT, Pugely, AJ, Gao, Y, et al. Thirty-Day Morbidity After Single-Level Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion: Identification of Risk Factors and Emphasis on the Safety of Outpatient Procedures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2014;96(15):1288-94.
- 21 McGirt, MJ, Godil, SS, Asher, AL, et al. Quality analysis of anterior cervical discectomy and fusion in the outpatient versus inpatient setting: analysis of 7288 patients from the NSQIP database. *Neurosurg.* 2015;39(6):E9.

- 22 Observation or inpatient? get it right up front. *Hospital case management : the monthly update on hospital-based care planning and critical paths.* 2012;20(1):1-3.
- 23 Ortega, A, Sarmiento, JM, Patil, C, et al. Comparative Analysis of Inpatient and Outpatient Interspinous Process Device Placement for Lumbar Spinal Stenosis. *J Neurol Surg A Cent Eur Neurosurg.* 2015;76(6):443-50.
- 24 Overman, RA, Freburger, JK, Assimon, MM, et al. Observation stays in administrative claims databases: underestimation of hospitalized cases. *Pharmacoepidemiology and drug safety.* 2014;23(9):902-10.
- 25 Puvanesarajah, V, Nourbakhsh, A, Hassanzadeh, H, et al. Readmission Rates, Reasons, and Risk Factors in Elderly Patients Treated With Lumbar Fusion for Degenerative Pathology. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2016;41(24):1933-8.
- 26 Sansone, JM, del Rio, AM, Anderson, PA. The prevalence of and specific risk factors for venous thromboembolic disease following elective spine surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2010;92(2):304-13.
- 27 Schoenfeld, AJ, Reamer, EN, Wynkoop, EI, et al. Does Patient Sex Affect the Rate of Mortality and Complications After Spine Surgery? A Systematic Review. *Clin Orthop.* 2015;473(8):2479-86.
- 28 Sheehy, AM, Graf, B, Gangireddy, S, et al. Hospitalized but not Admitted: Characteristics of Patients with "Observation Status" at an Academic Medical Center. *JAMA internal medicine.* 2013;173(21):1991-8.
- 29 Smith, WD, Wohns, RN, Christian, G, et al. Outpatient Minimally Invasive Lumbar Interbody: Fusion Predictive Factors and Clinical Results. *Spine.* 2016;41 Suppl 8:S106-22.
- 30 Somani, S, Di Capua, J, Kim, JS, et al. Comparing National Inpatient Sample and National Surgical Quality Improvement Program: An Independent Risk Factor Analysis for Risk Stratification in Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2017;42(8):565-72.
- 31 Stieber, JR, Brown, K, Donald, GD, et al. Anterior cervical decompression and fusion with plate fixation as an outpatient procedure. *Spine J.* 2005;5(5):503-7.
- 32 Sturiale, CL, Rossetto, M, Ermani, M, et al. Remote cerebellar hemorrhage after spinal procedures (part 2): a systematic review. *Neurosurg Rev.* 2016;39(3):369-76.
- 33 Tan, TP, Govindarajulu, AP, Massicotte, EM, et al. Vocal cord palsy after anterior cervical spine surgery: a qualitative systematic review. *Spine J.* 2014;14(7):1332-42.
- 34 Tetreault, L, Ibrahim, A, Cote, P, et al. A systematic review of clinical and surgical predictors of complications following surgery for degenerative cervical myelopathy. *J Neurosurg Spine.* 2016;24(1):77-99.
- 35 Wang, T, Yang, SD, Huang, WZ, et al. Factors predicting venous thromboembolism after spine surgery. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2016;95(52):e5776.
- 36 Wright, B, Jung, HY, Feng, Z, et al. Trends in observation care among Medicare fee-for-service beneficiaries at critical access hospitals, 2007-2009. *The Journal of rural health : official journal of the American Rural Health Association and the National Rural Health Care Association.* 2013;29 Suppl 1:s1-6.
- 37 Xing, D, Ma, JX, Ma, XL, et al. A methodological, systematic review of evidence-based independent risk factors for surgical site infections after spinal surgery. *Eur Spine J.* 2013;22(3):605-15.
- 38 Yen, D, Albarqi, A. Results and limitations of outpatient and overnight stay laminectomies for lumbar spinal stenosis. *Can J Surg.* 2017;60(5):329-34.

Coding

CPT® (Current Procedural Terminology) is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT® five digit codes, nomenclature and other data are copyright by the American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved. AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. AMA assumes no liability for the data contained herein or not contained herein.

The following code list may not be all-inclusive. Specific CPT codes for services should be used when available. Nonspecific or not otherwise classified codes may be subject to additional documentation requirements and review.

Figure 1. CPT codes for Joint Surgery

CPT	In scope for joint surgery
	Knee Arthroplasty
27437	Arthroplasty, patella; without prosthesis
27438	Arthroplasty, patella; with prosthesis
27440	Arthroplasty, knee, tibial plateau
27441	Arthroplasty, knee, tibial plateau; with debridement and partial synovectomy
27442	Arthroplasty, femoral condyles or tibial plateau(s), knee
27443	Arthroplasty, femoral condyles or tibial plateau(s), knee; with debridement and partial synovectomy
27446	Arthroplasty, knee, condyle and plateau; medial OR lateral compartment
27447	Arthroplasty, knee, condyle and plateau; medial AND lateral compartments with or without patella resurfacing (total knee arthroplasty)
	Hip Arthroplasty
27125	Hemiarthroplasty, hip, partial (eg, femoral stem prosthesis, bipolar arthroplasty)
27130	Arthroplasty, acetabular and proximal femoral prosthetic replacement (total hip arthroplasty), with or without autograft or allograft
	Knee Arthroscopy and open procedures
27331	Arthrotomy, knee; including joint exploration, biopsy, or removal of loose or foreign bodies
27332	Arthrotomy, with excision of semilunar cartilage (meniscectomy) knee; medial OR lateral
27333	Arthrotomy, with excision of semilunar cartilage (meniscectomy) knee; medial AND lateral
27334	Arthrotomy, with synovectomy, knee; anterior OR posterior
27335	Arthrotomy, with synovectomy, knee; anterior AND posterior including popliteal area
27403	Arthrotomy with meniscus repair, knee
27405	Repair, primary, torn ligament and/or capsule, knee; collateral
27407	Repair, primary, torn ligament and/or capsule, knee; cruciate
27409	Repair, primary, torn ligament and/or capsule, knee; collateral and cruciate ligaments
27412	Autologous chondrocyte implantation, knee
27415	Osteochondral allograft, knee, open
27416	Osteochondral autograft(s), knee, open (eg, mosaicplasty) (includes harvesting of autograft[s])
27427	Ligamentous reconstruction (augmentation), knee; extra-articular
27428	Ligamentous reconstruction (augmentation), knee; intra-articular (open)
27429	Ligamentous reconstruction (augmentation), knee; intra-articular (open) and extra-articular
29866	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; osteochondral autograft(s) (eg, mosaicplasty) (includes harvesting of the autograft[s])

CPT	In scope for joint surgery
29867	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; osteochondral allograft (eg, mosaicplasty)
29868	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; meniscal transplantation (includes arthrotomy for meniscal insertion), medial or lateral
29870	Arthroscopy, knee, diagnostic, with or without synovial biopsy (separate procedure)
29873	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; with lateral release
29874	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; for removal of loose body or foreign body (eg, osteochondritis dissecans fragmentation, chondral fragmentation)
29875	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; synovectomy, limited (eg, plica or shelf resection) (separate procedure)
29876	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; synovectomy, major, 2 or more compartments (eg, medial or lateral)
29877	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; debridement/shaving of articular cartilage (chondroplasty)
29879	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; abrasion arthroplasty (includes chondroplasty where necessary) or multiple drilling or microfracture
29880	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; with meniscectomy (medial AND lateral, including any meniscal shaving) including debridement/shaving of articular cartilage (chondroplasty), same or separate compartment(s), when performed
29881	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; with meniscectomy (medial OR lateral, including any meniscal shaving) including debridement/shaving of articular cartilage (chondroplasty), same or separate compartment(s), when performed
29882	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; with meniscus repair (medial OR lateral)
29883	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; with meniscus repair (medial AND lateral)
29884	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; with lysis of adhesions, with or without manipulation (separate procedure)
29885	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; drilling for osteochondritis dissecans with bone grafting, with or without internal fixation (including debridement of base of lesion)
29886	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; drilling for intact osteochondritis dissecans lesion
29887	Arthroscopy, knee, surgical; drilling for intact osteochondritis dissecans lesion with internal fixation
29888	Arthroscopically aided anterior cruciate ligament repair/augmentation or reconstruction
29889	Arthroscopically aided posterior cruciate ligament repair/augmentation or reconstruction
	Hip Arthroscopy
29860	Arthroscopy, hip, diagnostic with or without synovial biopsy (separate procedure)
29861	Arthroscopy, hip, surgical; with removal of loose body or foreign body
29862	Arthroscopy, hip, surgical; with debridement/shaving of articular cartilage (chondroplasty), abrasion arthroplasty, and/or resection of labrum
29863	Arthroscopy, hip, surgical; with synovectomy
29914	Arthroscopy, hip, surgical; with femoroplasty (ie, treatment of cam lesion)
29915	Arthroscopy, hip, surgical; with acetabuloplasty (ie, treatment of pincer lesion)
29916	Arthroscopy, hip, surgical; with labral repair
	Shoulder Arthroscopy and open procedures
23105	Arthrotomy; glenohumeral joint, with synovectomy, with or without biopsy
23107	Arthrotomy, glenohumeral joint, with joint exploration, with or without removal of loose or foreign body
23120	Claviclectomy; partial
23130	Acromioplasty or acromionectomy, partial, with or without coracoacromial ligament release

CPT	In scope for joint surgery
23410	Repair of ruptured musculotendinous cuff (eg, rotator cuff) open; acute
23412	Repair of ruptured musculotendinous cuff (eg, rotator cuff) open; chronic
23415	Coracoacromial ligament release, with or without acromioplasty
23420	Reconstruction of complete shoulder (rotator) cuff avulsion, chronic (includes acromioplasty)
23430	Tenodesis of long tendon of biceps
23440	Resection or transplantation of long tendon of biceps
23450	Capsulorrhaphy, anterior; Putti-Platt procedure or Magnuson type operation
23455	Capsulorrhaphy, anterior; with labral repair (eg, Bankart procedure)
23460	Capsulorrhaphy, anterior, any type; with bone block
23462	Capsulorrhaphy, anterior, any type; with coracoid process transfer
23465	Capsulorrhaphy, glenohumeral joint, posterior, with or without bone block
23466	Capsulorrhaphy, glenohumeral joint, any type multi-directional instability
29805	Arthroscopy, shoulder, diagnostic, with or without synovial biopsy (separate procedure)
29806	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; capsulorrhaphy
29807	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; repair of SLAP lesion
29819	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; with removal of loose body or foreign body
29820	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; synovectomy, partial
29821	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; synovectomy, complete
29822	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; debridement, limited
29823	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; debridement, extensive
29824	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; distal claviclectomy including distal articular surface (Mumford procedure)
29825	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; with lysis and resection of adhesions, with or without manipulation
29826	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; decompression of subacromial space with partial acromioplasty, with coracoacromial ligament (ie, arch) release, when performed (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
29827	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; with rotator cuff repair
29828	Arthroscopy, shoulder, surgical; biceps tenodesis

Figure 2. CPT codes for Spine Surgery

CPT	In scope for spine surgery
Anterior Cervical Discectomy Fusion (ACDF) or Artificial Cervical Disc Arthroplasty	
22551	Arthrodesis, anterior interbody, including disc space preparation, discectomy, osteophylectomy and decompression of spinal cord and/or nerve roots; cervical below C2
22552	Arthrodesis, anterior interbody, including disc space preparation, discectomy, osteophylectomy and decompression of spinal cord and/or nerve roots; cervical below C2, each additional interspace (List separately in addition to code for separate procedure)
22554	Arthrodesis, anterior interbody technique, including minimal discectomy to prepare interspace (other than for decompression); cervical below C2
22585	Arthrodesis, anterior interbody technique, including minimal discectomy to prepare interspace (other than for decompression); each additional interspace (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
22845	Anterior instrumentation; 2 to 3 vertebral segments (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
22856	Total disc arthroplasty (artificial disc), anterior approach, including discectomy with end plate preparation (includes osteophylectomy for nerve root or spinal cord decompression and microdissection); single interspace, cervical
22858	Total disc arthroplasty (artificial disc), anterior approach, including discectomy with end plate preparation (includes osteophylectomy for nerve root or spinal cord decompression and microdissection); second level, cervical (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
22853	Insertion of interbody biomechanical device(s) (e.g., synthetic cage, mesh) with integral anterior instrumentation for device anchoring (e.g., screws, flanges), when performed, to intervertebral disc space in conjunction with interbody arthrodesis, each interspace (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
Cervical Laminotomy/Laminectomy	
63020	Laminotomy (hemilaminectomy), with decompression of nerve root(s), including partial facetectomy, foraminotomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disc; 1 interspace, cervical
63035	Laminotomy (hemilaminectomy), with decompression of nerve root(s), including partial facetectomy, foraminotomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disc; each additional interspace, cervical or lumbar (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
63040	Laminotomy (hemilaminectomy), with decompression of nerve root(s), including partial facetectomy, foraminotomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disc, reexploration, single interspace; cervical
63043	Laminotomy (hemilaminectomy), with decompression of nerve root(s), including partial facetectomy, foraminotomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disc, reexploration, single interspace; each additional cervical interspace (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
63075	Discectomy, anterior, with decompression of spinal cord and/or nerve root(s), including osteophylectomy; cervical, single interspace
63076	Discectomy, anterior, with decompression of spinal cord and/or nerve root(s), including osteophylectomy; cervical, each additional interspace (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
Lumbar Discectomy/Laminectomy	
63005	Laminectomy with exploration and/or decompression of spinal cord and/or cauda equina, without facetectomy, foraminotomy or discectomy (e.g., spinal stenosis), 1 or 2 vertebral segments; lumbar, except for spondylolisthesis
63012	Laminectomy with removal of abnormal facets and/or pars inter-articularis with decompression of cauda equina and nerve roots for spondylolisthesis, lumbar (Gill type procedure)

CPT	In scope for spine surgery
-----	----------------------------

- | | |
|-------|--|
| 63017 | Laminectomy with exploration and/or decompression of spinal cord and/or cauda equina, without facetectomy, foraminotomy or discectomy (e.g., spinal stenosis), more than 2 vertebral segments; lumbar |
| 63030 | Laminotomy (hemilaminectomy), with decompression of nerve root(s), including partial facetectomy, foraminotomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disc; 1 interspace, lumbar |
| 63042 | Laminotomy (hemilaminectomy), with decompression of nerve root(s), including partial facetectomy, foraminotomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disc, reexploration, single interspace; lumbar |
| 63044 | Laminotomy (hemilaminectomy), with decompression of nerve root(s), including partial facetectomy, foraminotomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disc, reexploration, single interspace; each additional lumbar interspace (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) |
| 63047 | Laminectomy, facetectomy and foraminotomy (unilateral or bilateral with decompression of spinal cord, cauda equina and/or nerve root[s], [e.g., spinal or lateral recess stenosis]), single vertebral segment; lumbar |
| 63048 | Laminectomy, facetectomy and foraminotomy (unilateral or bilateral with decompression of spinal cord, cauda equina and/or nerve root[s], [eg, spinal or lateral recess stenosis]), single vertebral segment; each additional segment, cervical, thoracic, or lumbar |
| 63056 | Transpedicular approach with decompression of spinal cord, equina and/or nerve root(s) (e.g., herniated intervertebral disc), single segment; lumbar (including transfacet, or lateral extraforaminal approach) (e.g., far lateral herniated intervertebral disc) |
| 63057 | Transpedicular approach with decompression of spinal cord, equina and/or nerve root(s) (e.g., herniated intervertebral disc), single segment; each additional segment, thoracic or lumbar (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) |

Vertebroplasty/Kyphoplasty

- | | |
|-------|--|
| 22510 | Percutaneous vertebroplasty (bone biopsy included when performed), 1 vertebral body, unilateral or bilateral injection, inclusive of all imaging guidance; cervicothoracic |
| 22511 | Percutaneous vertebroplasty (bone biopsy included when performed), 1 vertebral body, unilateral or bilateral injection, inclusive of all imaging guidance; lumbosacral |
| 22512 | Percutaneous vertebroplasty (bone biopsy included when performed), 1 vertebral body, unilateral or bilateral injection, inclusive of all imaging guidance; each additional cervicothoracic or lumbosacral vertebral body (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) |
| 22513 | Percutaneous vertebral augmentation, including cavity creation (fracture reduction and bone biopsy included when performed) using mechanical device (e.g., kyphoplasty), 1 vertebral body, unilateral or bilateral cannulation, inclusive of all imaging guidance |
| 22514 | Percutaneous vertebral augmentation, including cavity creation (fracture reduction and bone biopsy included when performed) using mechanical device (e.g., kyphoplasty), 1 vertebral body, unilateral or bilateral cannulation, inclusive of all imaging guidance |
| 22515 | Percutaneous vertebral augmentation, including cavity creation (fracture reduction and bone biopsy included when performed) using mechanical device (e.g., kyphoplasty), 1 vertebral body, unilateral or bilateral cannulation, inclusive of all imaging guidance |

Appendix A. ASA Physical Status Classification System

ASA PS Classification	Definition	Examples, including, but not limited to:
ASA I	A normal healthy patient	Healthy, non-smoking, no or minimal alcohol use
ASA II	A patient with mild systemic disease	Mild diseases only without substantive functional limitations. Examples include (but not limited to): current smoker, social alcohol drinker, pregnancy, obesity (30 < BMI < 40), well-controlled DM/HTN, mild lung disease
ASA III	A patient with severe systemic disease	Substantive functional limitations; One or more moderate to severe diseases. Examples include (but not limited to): poorly controlled DM or HTN, COPD, morbid obesity (BMI ≥ 40), active hepatitis, alcohol dependence or abuse, implanted pacemaker, moderate reduction of ejection fraction, ESRD undergoing regularly scheduled dialysis, premature infant PCA < 60 weeks, history (> 3 months) of MI, CVA, TIA, or CAD/stents.
ASA IV	A patient with severe systemic disease that is a constant threat to life	Examples include (but not limited to): recent (< 3 months) MI, CVA, TIA, or CAD/stents, ongoing cardiac ischemia or severe valve dysfunction, severe reduction of ejection fraction, sepsis, DIC, ARD or ESRD not undergoing regularly scheduled dialysis
ASA V	A moribund patient who is not expected to survive without the operation	Examples include (but not limited to): ruptured abdominal/thoracic aneurysm, massive trauma, intracranial bleed with mass effect, ischemic bowel in the face of significant cardiac pathology or multiple organ/system dysfunction
ASA VI	A declared brain-dead patient whose organs are being removed for donor purposes	--

*The addition of “E” denotes Emergency surgery: (An emergency is defined as existing when delay in treatment of the patient would lead to a significant increase in the threat to life or body part)

Source: 2014 [ASA Physical Status Classification System](#) available at the American Society of Anesthesiologists website; Accessed June 26, 2018.

History

Status	Date	Action
Revised	01/28/2019	Observation surgical setting added
Reviewed	07/11/2018	Last Independent Multispecialty Physician Panel review
Created	03/01/2018	Original effective date
Reviewed	12/12/2017	Independent Multispecialty Physician Panel review